

1 6. Ellis's Specification is ridiculously broad. For example, Ellis wants to own Distributed
2 Computing using organic computers. The Human brain is frequently considered an organic
3 computer.
4

5 Consider the case where people form a team to work together on a task. Each person performs
6 a part of that task. They are paid for performing that task. The team must determine the identity
7 and reliability of the customer whose task they are performing. (Is it a lawful task? Will they get
8 paid?) If they have more than one customer they must make sure not to breach the
9 confidentiality of each customer. In other words, the team members must use a mental Firewall
10 {also known as good business judgment }.
11

12 Therefore, anyone forming such as team is infringing on the Ellis patent. {That includes the
13 Patent Office whose many departments perform different tasks in order to process each Patent
14 Application.}
15

16 My invention is limited to Home Network Servers. It does not apply to cell phones, TVs, video
17 games, or your own brain.
18
19

20 7. I proposed to amend the phrase in Claim 1, Claim 3, and Claim 5 "**something of value**" to
21 "**something of value from a contracting company**" if it would result in the application being
22 allowed. He seemed receptive to my offer to amend the claims but said he did not have the
23 authority to negotiate the disposition of the application.
24

25
26 8. I asked him if he had ever had a pro se Applicant before, and he said, "no." He also said that
27 he had never talked to an Applicant's attorney.
28

29
30 9. The Examiner thanked me for clarifying my invention and distinguishing it from Ellis, and
31 agreed to talk to his supervisor who has the authority to negotiate the disposition of the
32 application. The Examiner stated he would do an additional search to see if there is other Prior
33 Art relevant to my invention.
34

35 10. A conference telephone interview with SPE Dharia was subsequently arranged for
36 Tuesday, August 9, 2005 for 2:00 pm (Eastern).
37
38

39 As noted, my Informal Response of July 25, 2005, is to be incorporated in this Summary for the
40 reasons stated and follows the customary boiler plate.
41
42

43 Respectfully submitted,

44 Jed Margolin
45 pro se inventor
46 August 12, 2005
47
48