

**Jed Margolin**

---

**From:** "Jed Margolin" <cafc@jmargolin.com>  
**To:** <Joseph.Piccolo@USPTO.GOV>  
**Cc:** <Nathan.Kelley@USPTO.GOV>; <John.Whealan@USPTO.GOV>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 12, 2006 6:52 AM  
**Subject:** RE: Fed. Cir. Appeal 2007-1056

Mr. Piccolo.

> With the amended certified list should have been the list of documents in PALM for this case. Since you state below you received a copy of that court-document, I have not seen a need to send it to you again.

The amended certified list I received was not accompanied by a list of documents in PALM.

> If you need me to point out on that list what you have not included in your designation to-date, I can do so in a counter-designating letter.

Thank you for your kind offer but I know how busy you are with other cases and I would prefer you spend your time becoming familiar with the merits of the case.

Jed Margolin  
 Pro Se Appellant

=====  
 Subject: RE: Fed. Cir. Appeal 2007-1056  
 From: "Piccolo, Joseph"  
 Date: Mon, 11 Dec 2006 13:51:12 -0500  
 To: "Jed Margolin"  
 CC: "Kelley, Nathan" , "Whealan, John"

With the amended certified list should have been the list of documents in PALM for this case. Since you state below you received a copy of that court-document, I have not seen a need to send it to you again. If you need me to point out on that list what you have not included in your designation to-date, I can do so in a counter-designating letter. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----

From: Jed Margolin [mailto:cafc@jmargolin.com]  
 Sent: Monday, December 11, 2006 1:44 PM  
 To: Piccolo, Joseph  
 Cc: Kelley, Nathan; Whealan, John

Subject: Re: Fed. Cir. Appeal 2007-1056

Mr. Piccolo.

Your answer is non-responsive.

1. Are there any documents you would like me to add to my Appendix?

A simple "yes" or "no" would be sufficient, especially if the answer is "no."

2. According to Ms. Abraham there may be documents in PALM that are not in the IFW. Please send me an unedited list of the documents in PALM for this case.

Jed Margolin  
Pro Se Appellant

=====  
> Subject: Re: Fed. Cir. Appeal 2007-1056  
> From: "Jed Margolin" <[cafc@jmargolin.com](mailto:cafc@jmargolin.com)>  
> Date: Mon, 11 Dec 2006 08:12:37 -0800  
> To: "Piccolo, Joseph" <[Joseph.Piccolo@USPTO.GOV](mailto:Joseph.Piccolo@USPTO.GOV)>  
>  
> Yes.  
>

=====  
----- Original Message -----  
From: "Piccolo, Joseph" <[Joseph.Piccolo@USPTO.GOV](mailto:Joseph.Piccolo@USPTO.GOV)>  
To: "Jed Margolin" <[cafc@jmargolin.com](mailto:cafc@jmargolin.com)>  
Cc: "Kelley, Nathan" <[Nathan.Kelley@USPTO.GOV](mailto:Nathan.Kelley@USPTO.GOV)>  
Sent: Monday, December 11, 2006 4:09 AM  
Subject: RE: Fed. Cir. Appeal 2007-1056

>> Did you receive the amended certified list, Sir?

>>

>> -----Original Message-----

>> From: Jed Margolin [<mailto:cafc@jmargolin.com>]

>> Sent: Monday, December 11, 2006 5:21 AM

>> To: Piccolo, Joseph

>> Subject: Fed. Cir. Appeal 2007-1056

>>

>>

>> Mr. Piccolo.

>>

>>

>> 1. Are there any documents you would like me to add to my Appendix?

>>

>> 2. According to Ms. Abraham there may be documents in PALM that are not

in

>> the IFW.

>>

>> Please send me an unedited list of the documents in PALM for this case.

>> Thank you.

>>

>> Jed Margolin

>> Pro Se Appellant

>>

>>

>>

>>