

**Jed Margolin**

---

**From:** "Jed Margolin" <cafc@jmargolin.com>  
**To:** "Piccolo, Joseph" <Joseph.Piccolo@USPTO.GOV>  
**Cc:** <John.Whealan@uspto.gov>; <Nathan.Kelley@uspto.gov>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 10, 2007 9:20 AM  
**Attach:** cafc-usps.pdf  
**Subject:** Re: your In re Margolin appeal, Federal Circuit No. 2007-1056

Mr. Piccolo.

> Mr. Margolin, the Federal Circuit's Pacer system currently has a due date only for you, do you agree?

I am not responsible for the operation of the Pacer system. If you have any questions about it I suggest you contact the Court.

My corrected Appeal Brief and corrected Appendix were delivered both to CAFC and the Solicitor's Office on Friday, January 5. I have attached the USPS records. If you have not received the package, I suggest you look for it. Also, please see Practice Notes at the end of Federal Circuit Rule 25.

And, finally, you may have already heard that one of the documents in the Image File Wrapper has been tampered with, namely "Examiner Interview Summary" dated and mailed 10/12/2006. My Appendix contains a faithful scan of the original document.

I believe it is in the best interests of the USPTO to identify the culprit who has found a way to tamper with documents without leaving any apparent trace. This would be more productive than the General Counsel's advice (reported by Mr. Scott Williams, Deputy CIO) that the change is minor and that Mr. Williams not talk to me.

Regards,

Jed Margolin

=====  
 ----- Original Message -----  
**From:** "Piccolo, Joseph" <[Joseph.Piccolo@USPTO.GOV](mailto:Joseph.Piccolo@USPTO.GOV)>  
**To:** <[cafc@jmargolin.com](mailto:cafc@jmargolin.com)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 10, 2007 5:17 AM  
**Subject:** your In re Margolin appeal, Federal Circuit No. 2007-1056

> Mr. Margolin, the Federal Circuit's Pacer system currently has a due date only for you, do you agree?

>  
>