

1 **1.** In NASA’s Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment and Cross-Motion for Summary
2 Judgment (Document 46) they cited a number of cases they had not previously cited, and
3 followed the practice of summarizing them in a few words, or sometimes as much as a short
4 paragraph.

5
6 This required that Margolin find the cases, analyze them, and determine what the cases actually
7 teach. Thus, NASA could cite a number of cases in a very little space that required Margolin
8 devote several pages to properly characterize and respond to. And while Margolin was able to
9 find many of the cases online, some required access to Westlaw or Lexis-Nexis. To access
10 Westlaw and Lexis-Nexis he must physically travel to the Nevada Supreme Court Law Library
11 in Carson City, which ends up taking at least half a day of his time. Margolin needed to make
12 two such trips to respond to NASA’s Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment and Cross-
13 Motion for Summary Judgment (Document 46).

14

15 **2.** In NASA’s Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment and Cross-Motion for Summary
16 Judgment they introduced, for the first time, the defense of “Common Interest Privilege” and
17 cited a number of cases. Once Margolin found these cases they took him a great deal of time to
18 analyze and determine what they actually teach. And then they required a great deal of space to
19 respond to.

20

21 **3.** Margolin had approximately one month to respond to NASA’s Opposition to Motion for
22 Summary Judgment and Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment. However, because of other
23 unavoidable obligations Margolin was only able to work full time on his responses for

1 approximately two weeks before the deadline for his responses. Margolin expects that an
2 experienced attorney would need at most a day or two for this task but Margolin is not an
3 attorney (experienced or not). To make matters worse, Margolin is 60 years old and for the past
4 several years has found it necessary to completely focus on a task in order to perform at the level
5 he expects from himself.

6
7 By the time he realized that there was a page limit he had already exceeded it, he still wasn't
8 done, and he did not think there was time to move for leave to exceed the page limit.

9
10 Margolin asks the Court to act in its dual role as a Court of Equity as well as a Court of Law and
11 accept his Excess Pages in his Opposition to NASA's Cross Motion For Summary Judgment
12 (Document 50) so that this case can be decided on its merits.

13
14

Conclusion

15
16 For the foregoing reasons, Margolin respectfully requests that the Court grant his Motion
17 Requesting Leave For Excess Pages for his Opposition to NASA's Cross Motion For Summary
18 Judgment (Document 50).

19
20

Respectfully submitted,

/Jed Margolin/

21
22
23
24
25
26

Jed Margolin, plaintiff pro se
1981 Empire Rd.
VC Highlands, NV 89521-7430
775-847-7845
jm@jmargolin.com

27 Dated: November 8, 2010

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that service of the foregoing MOTION REQUESTING LEAVE FOR EXCESS PAGES has been made by electronic notification through the Court's electronic filing system on November 8, 2010.

/Jed Margolin/

Jed Margolin