1	Jeffrey L. Hartman, Esq., #1607		
2	HARTMAN & HARTMAN 510 West Plumb Lane, Suite B		
3	Reno, Nevada 89509 Telephone: (775) 324-2800		
J	Fax: (775) 324-1818		
4	E-mail: notices@bankruptcyreno.com Attorney for Patrick Canet,		
5	Judicial Liquidator and Foreign Represen	itative	
6	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
7	IN RE:	CASE NO.	BK-N-16-50644-BTB
8		CHAPTER	
9	JAZI GHOLAMREZA ZANDIAN, Debtor.		
10	FRED SADRI, AS TRUSTEE FOR THE	Adv. Proc. N	o. 17-05016-BTB
11	STAR LIVING TRUST, DATED APRIL 14, 1997; RAY KOROGHLI AND		
	SATHSOWI T. KOROGHLI, AS		
12	MANAGING TRUSTEES FOR KOROGHLI MANAGEMENT TRUST,		
13	Plaintiffs,		OF PATRICK CANET TO T JED MARGOLIN'S
14	v.		OF REQUESTS FOR
15	JED MARGOLIN; JAZI GHOLALREZA ZANDIAN; and all other parties claiming		
16	an interest in the real properties described		
17	in this action, Defendants.		
18	PATRICK CANET,		
	Counterclaimant,		
19	v.		
20			
21	FRED SADRI, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE FOR THE STAR LIVING		
22	TRUST; RAY KOROGHLI, INDIVIDUALLY, RAY KOROGHLI		
	AND SATHSOWI T. KOROGHLI, AS		
23	MANAGING TRUSTEES FOR KOROGHLI MANAGEMENT TRUST,		
24	Counter-defendants.		
25	PATRICK CANET,		
26	Cross-Claimant,		
	v.		
27	JED MARGOLIN,		
28	Cross-Defendant/		

1

3

PROPOUNDING PARTY: JED MARGOLIN

RESPONDING PARTY: PATRICK CANET

4 5 6

Defendant / Cross Claimant Patrick Canet, Liquidator in the FRENCH ACTION ("CANET"), against Jazi Gholamrezreza Zandian ("ZANDIAN"), pursuant to Rule 36 of

the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, as incorporated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7036, hereby

responds to JED MARGOLIN'S First Set of Request for Admissions as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

7

information as is presently available. This may include hearsay and other forms of evidence

In response to these Requests for Admission, you have been furnished with such

which are neither reliable nor admissible into evidence. CANET has not completed his

investigation of all facts of this case, nor discovery in this matter, and has not completed his

preparation for trial. Accordingly, the following responses are provided without prejudice to

CANET'S right to introduce at trial any evidence that is subsequently discovered relating to

proof of presently-known facts and to produce and introduce all evidence, whenever discovered,

relating to the proof of subsequently-discovered material facts. Moreover, facts, documents and

things, now known, may be imperfectly understood. Accordingly, such facts, documents and

things, may not be included in the following responses.

18 19

evidence at the time of trial any and all facts, documents, and things which it does not presently

CANET reserves the right to refer to, conduct discovery with reference to, or offer into

20

recall but may recall at some time in the near future, notwithstanding the initial responses

21

provide therein. CANET further reserves the right to raise all appropriate objections with

22

reference to the admissibility of any response or document at the time of trial, even though it

23

may not be specifically objected to in these responses during discovery.

24

protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, the work-product doctrine, and any

CANET objects to MARGOLIN'S requests insofar as they seek information that is

25 26

other applicable privilege(s). To the extent that CANET inadvertently discloses information

27

that is protected under any applicable privilege, such inadvertent disclosure does not constitute

28

a waiver of such privilege.

1

5 6 7 evidence.

8 9 10

11 12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

REQUEST NO. 1:

any objection by responding.

request admission of a distinct, relevant fact.

Admit that Bank Melli of Iran is a creditor in the FRENCH ACTION that is the basis of your Chapter 15 Petition.

CANET further objects to MARGOLIN'S requests insofar as they seek documents

These general objections are applicable to each and every one of the following responses

CANET also generally objects to the Requests for Admission to the extent that they are

Notwithstanding these objections, CANET responds to these Requests in accordance

concerning matters unrelated to the subject matter of the lawsuit, on the grounds that such

requests are over broad, unduly burdensome, and seek information that is neither relevant to the

subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible

and objections, and failure to repeat an objection in response to a specific request shall not be

deemed a waiver of the objection. Further, when CANET specifically repeats one or more of

compound, vague, ambiguous, confusing, unclear and argumentative and can therefore be

subject to different meanings. CANET further objects to the extent that the Requests for

Admission do not comply with the Rules relating to Requests for Admission in that they do not

with his best understanding of the meaning of the Requests for Admission and does not waive

these general objections, it is not intended to exclude these other objections.

RESPONSE: Deny. In the FRENCH ACTION, creditors, to be recognized as such, must file a declaration under Sections L.622-24, 622-25, 624-1 to 624-4, R.622-21 to R.622-26 and R. 624-1 to 624-011 of the French Commercial Code, and second, must be admitted to participate in the liquidation by a judge. Bank Melli is not a creditors of Zandian; it did not file a declaration, nor was it admitted. CANET would not be permitted to pay anything to Bank Melli under L.622-7.

REQUEST NO. 2:

Admit that Bank Melli's claim in the FRENCH ACTION that is the basis of your Chapter 15 Petition has been approved by the French Court.

RESPONSE: Deny. As noted, in the FRENCH ACTION, creditors, to be recognized as such, must file a declaration under Sections L.622-24, 622-25, 624-1 to 624-4, R.622-21 to R.622-26 and R. 624-1 to 624-011 0f the Commercial Code, and second must be admitted to participate in the liquidation by a judge. Bank Melli is not a creditors of Zandian; it did not file a declaration, nor was it admitted. CANET would not be permitted to pay anything to Bank Melli under L.622-7.

REQUEST NO. 3:

Admit that in the FRENCH ACTION that is the basis of your Chapter 15 Petition you have already collected at least 150,000 Euros from ZANDIAN.

RESPONSE: Admit. During the liquidation proceeding, CANET does not collect only money from ZANDIAN, but sells property and collects proceeds from the sale of the liquidated property. ZANDIAN previously deposited 300,000 Euros with CARPA in connection with a proposed transactionwith Lloyd's Bank. When the French Court did not approve that transaction, CANET authorized the return of those funds to ZANDIAN

REQUEST NO. 4:

Admit that from the date the FRENCH ACTION that is the basis of your Chapter 15 Petition was approved by the French Court until you filed your Chapter 15 Petition in U.S. Bankruptcy Court you failed to make any attempt to collect your Judgment against ZANDIAN in any action in Nevada against ZANDIAN's assets in Nevada.

RESPONSE: Admit. Prior to March 2016, CANET was unaware of Zandian's activities in Nevada.

///

///

REQUEST NO. 5:

Admit that from the date the FRENCH ACTION that is the basis of your Chapter 15 Petition was approved by the French Court until you filed your Chapter 15 Petition in U.S. Bankruptcy Court you failed to make any attempt to collect your Judgment against ZANDIAN in any action in California against Zandian's assets in California.

RESPONSE: Admit. Prior to March 2016, Mr. CANET was unaware of Zandian's activities in California.

REQUEST NO. 6:

Admit that you failed to record your Judgment in the FRENCH ACTION against ZANDIAN in any of Nevada's Counties.

RESPONSE: Admit. Prior to March 2016, Mr. Canet was unaware of Zandian's activities in Nevada.

REQUEST NO. 7:

Admit that MARGOLIN bought the following properties at public auction more than a year before you filed your Chapter 15 Petition in U.S. Bankruptcy Court:

Clark County	APN 071-02-000-005
Clark County	APN 071-02-000-013
Washoe County	APN 079-150-12
Washoe County	APN 079-150-10
Washoe County	APN 084-040-02
Washoe County	APN 084-130-07

RESPONSE: Admit that MARGOLIN asserts that he acquired these properties more than one year prior to the Chapter 15 Petition; however, Mr. Canet had no knowledge of the asserted purchases prior to March 2016.

///

///

REQUEST NO. 8:

Admit that you filed your Chapter 15 Petition in U.S. Bankruptcy Court as a result of being contacted by ZANDIAN on or before 2016.

RESPONSE: Admit.

REQUEST NO. 9:

Admit that you have an agreement (either formal or informal, written or oral) with ZANDIAN such that ZANDIAN (or his designee or designees) will receive financial compensation if your Chapter 13 [sic] Petition is successful.

RESPONSE: Deny that any such agreement exists with ZANDIAN or his designee(s). Only after all allowed creditor claims are paid in the FRENCH ACTION would ZANDIAN be entitled to any residual.

REQUEST NO. 10:

Admit that at the September 6, 2016 hearing in U.S. Bankruptcy Court your counsel promised the Court that if your Chapter 15 Petition were granted, then under Section 1511 your counsel would commence either an involuntary proceeding against ZANDIAN under Section 303; or if he were to consent to being a debtor under Chapter 7, then your counsel would proceed under Section 301.

RESPONSE: Admit. CANET relied on counsel with respect to the chapter 15 Petition.

REQUEST NO. 11:

Admit that it has been more than 12 months since your counsel has made the above promise to the Court and your counsel has failed to commence either of the above actions.

RESPONSE: Admit. CANET relied on counsel with respect to the chapter 15 Petition.

///

///

1	REQUEST NO. 12:
2	Admit that your counsel also represents ZANDIAN in your Chapter 15 Petition in U.S.
3	Bankruptcy Court.
4	RESPONSE: Deny. ZANDIAN, at CANET'S authorization, has been directed to
5	cooperate, to the extent possible, with CANET'S counsel.
6	
7	REQUEST NO. 13:
8	Admit that you are not named as a Defendant in this adversary proceeding.
9	RESPONSE: Admit. CANET holds any claims owned by ZANDIAN and is the real
10	party in interest in the stead of ZANDIAN.
11	
12	REQUEST NO. 14:
13	Admit that ZANDIAN is insolvent.
14	RESPONSE: Admit. The FRENCH ACTION includes a determination that ZANDIAN
15	is insolvent. Under French law, ZANDIAN meets the conditions under L 640-1 of the
16	Commercial Code.
17	
18	REQUEST NO. 15:
19	Admit that ZANDIAN is solvent.
20	RESPONSE: Deny.
21	
22	REQUEST NO. 16:
23	Admit that you have presented no evidence that ZANDIAN is or was insolvent.
24	RESPONSE: Deny. Docket entry 18-1, in the main case, is the Certificate Regarding
25	Insolvency Proceedings concerning ZANDIAN issued July 6, 2016 by the Clerk's Office of the
26	Pontoise Commercial Court, which Certificate references the April 1998 Judgment against
27	ZANDIAN.
28	///

REQUEST NO. 17:

Admit that ZANDIAN's debt to Bank Melli was incurred for the purpose of ZANDIAN's purchase of an IBM computer that he attempted to export from the United States (through France) to Iran in 1991.

RESPONSE: Deny. CANET has no knowledge of any indebtedness owed by ZANDIAN to Bank Melli. CANET believes that the claim by the United States against ZANDIAN, i.e., conspiracy to export controlled commodities, false statements and wire fraud, asserted in 1993 in <u>USA v</u>, <u>Reger</u>, et al., including ZANDIAN, case no. 2:93-cr-00055-ER-1, was dismissed on July 8, 1993 by United States District Court Judge Edward Rafeedie.

REQUEST NO. 18:

Admit that you have knowledge under Executive Order 13599 Bank Melli is considered to be the same entity as the government of Iran.

RESPONSE: Deny. CANET has no knowledge of Executive Order 13599. As stated, Bank Melli has no claim in the FRENCH ACTION.

REQUEST NO. 19:

Admit that you have knowledge that it is a criminal act under 31 CFR § 560 and Executive Order 13599 to pay money to the Government of Iran.

RESPONSE: Deny. CANET has no knowledge of the operation of 31 CFR § 560; further, as stated, Bank Melli has no claim in the FRENCH ACTION and CANET has no obligation or intention to pay Bank Melli anything.

REQUEST NO. 20:

Admit that you have produced all documents and things pursuant to Defendant Jed Margolin's First Set of Requests for Production to Patrick Canet.

RESPONSE: Admit as limited by responses to Requests For Production.

///

REQUEST NO. 21: Admit that all documents and things you have produced to Defendant Jed Margolin's First Set of Requests for Production to Patrick Canet are authentic. **RESPONSE:** Admit as limited by responses to Requests For Production DATED: March 6, 2018. **HARTMAN & HARTMAN** /S/ Jeffrey L. Hartman Jeffrey L. Hartman, Esq. Attorney for Patrick Canet, Foreign Representative

Hartman & Hartman 510 West Plumb Lane, Ste. B Reno, Nevada 89509 (775) 324-2800

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 2 I certify that I am an employee of Hartman & Hartman, and that on March 6, 2018, I caused to be served the foregoing document by the following means to the persons as listed 3 4 below: U. S. Mail, postage prepaid, to 5 Matthew D. Francis, Esq. 6 Arthur Z. Zorio BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK 7 5371 Kietzke Lane Reno, NV 89511 8 Dana Jonathon Nitz, Esq. Yanxiong Li, Esq. Wright, Finlay & Zak, LLP 9 7785 W. Sahara Avenue., Suite 200 10 Las Vegas, NV 89117 11 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. 12 13 Dated: March 6, 2018. 14 /S/ Stephanie Ittner Stephanie Ittner 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28