	Case 17-05016-btb Doc 13 Entered	06/29/17 10:59:48 Page 1 of 9	
1	Adam McMillen		
2	Nevada Bar No. 10678 amcmillen@bhfs.com BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP		
3	5371 Kietzke Lane Reno, NV 89511		
4	Telephone: 775.324.4100 Facsimile: 775.333.8171		
5	Attorneys for JED MARGOLIN		
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
9	FOR THE DIS	TRICT OF NEVADA	
10 11			
	In Re JAZI GHOLAMREZA ZANDIAN,	Case No. DV N 16 50644 DTD	
12	/ Debtor.	Case No. BK-N-16-50644-BTB	
13	FRED SADRI, AS TRUSTEE FOR THE	Adversary No. 17-05016-BTB	
14	STAR LIVING TRUST, DATED APRIL 14, 1997; RAY KOROGHLI AND	ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES OF JED MARGOLIN TO THE ADVERSARY	
15	SATHSOWI T. KOROGHLI, AS MANAGING TRUSTEES FOR KOROCHI LMANACEMENT TRUST	COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
16 17	KOROGHLI MANAGEMENT TRUST,		
17 18	Plaintiffs,		
10	v. JED MARGOLIN; JAZI GHOLAMREZA		
20	ZANDIAN; and all other parties claiming an interest in real properties described in		
20	this action, Defendants.		
22			
23	Defendant led Margolin, by and throu	gh his counsel of record, Adam McMillen, hereby	
24		gir ins counsel of record, readin weivinen, hereby	
25	responds to the complaint as follows:		
26	///		
27			
28			
		1	

BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP 5371 Kietzke Lane Reno, NV 89511 775.324.4100

	Cas	e 17-05016-btb Doc 13 Entered 06/29/17 10:59:48 Page 2 of 9	
1	ANSWER		
2		Answering the numbered paragraphs of the Complaint, Jed Margolin states as follows:	
3	PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE		
4	1.	Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 1 of the complaint.	
5 6	2.	Defendant admits that jurisdiction is proper.	
7	3.	Defendant consents to entry of a final order or judgment by the Bankruptcy Court.	
8	4.	Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 4 of the complaint.	
9	5.	Defendant admits that venue is proper.	
10	6.	Defendant lacks knowledge that Sadri is a resident of Clark County, Nevada, and lacks	
11		knowledge as to Sadri's status as a trustee of any trust, and therefore Defendant denies	
12		any such allegations; further, Defendant denies SLT is a legal owner of the Property.	
13	7.	Defendant lacks knowledge that the Koroghli's are residents of Clark County, Nevada,	
14 15		and lacks knowledge as to their status as trustees of any trust, and therefore Defendant	
16		denies any such allegations; further, Defendant denies KMT is a legal owner of the	
17		Property.	
18	8.	Defendant lacks knowledge regarding Zandian's residency status as he has maintained	
19		addresses all around the world, including in Nevada and California, and therefore denies	
20		the allegations regarding the same; Defendant admits that Zandian at one time had	
21		ownership interests in the Property but through the default judgment, Defendant now	
22 23		owns or is entitled to all of Zandian's rights to the Property, and Defendant denies the	
23		remaining allegations; Defendant admits that Zandian has used multiple aliases.	
25	9.		
26		owner of Parcels 2, 4 and 8 of the Property pursuant to the Sheriff's deeds upon sale	
27		recorded against each parcel.	
28			
		2	

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

10. Defendant admits that such a document (Number 2900592) appears to have been recorded	
with the Washoe County Recorder; Defendant lacks knowledge and therefore denies the	
remaining allegations contained in paragraph 10 of the complaint.	

11. Defendant admits that such a document (Number 2900592) appears to have been recorded with the Washoe County Recorder; Defendant lacks knowledge and therefore denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 11 of the complaint.

12. Defendant admits that such a document (Number 2900593) appears to have been recorded with the Washoe County Recorder; Defendant lacks knowledge and therefore denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 12 of the complaint.

13. Defendant admits that such a civil action appears to have occurred; Defendant lacks knowledge and therefore denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 13 of the complaint.

14. Defendant lacks knowledge and therefore denies the allegations contained in paragraph 14 of the complaint.

15. Defendant admits this happened and adds that the *Judgment Confirming Arbitration Award* was recorded in Washoe County on June 22, 2007, Document #3547263.

16. Defendant lacks knowledge and therefore denies the allegations contained in paragraph 16 of the complaint.

17. Defendant lacks knowledge and therefore denies the allegations contained in paragraph 17 of the complaint.

18. Defendant lacks knowledge and therefore denies the allegations contained in paragraph 18 of the complaint.

19. Defendant admits that such a document (Number 3758659) appears to have been recorded with the Washoe County Recorder; Defendant lacks knowledge and therefore denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 19 of the complaint.

20. Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 20 of the complaint.

21. Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 21 of the complaint.

22. Admit; however Defendant lacks knowledge if Plaintiffs assisted Zandian in that action and therefore denies any such allegation.

23. Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 23 of the complaint; the Default Judgment was recorded in Washoe County, Document 4269631, recorded August16, 2013.

24. Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 24 of the complaint.

25. Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 25 of the complaint.

26. Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 26 of the complaint.

27. Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 27 of the complaint.

28. Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 28 of the complaint.

29. Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 29 of the complaint.

30. Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 30 of the complaint.

31. Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 31 of the complaint.

32. Cannot admit or deny as it appears to be a statement of law.

33. Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 33 of the complaint.
34. Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 34 of the complaint.
35. Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 35 of the complaint.
36. Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 36 of the complaint.

37. Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 37 of the complaint.

27 28

4

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 38. Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 38 of the complaint. 2 39. Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 39 of the complaint. 3 40. Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 40 of the complaint. 4 41. Cannot admit or deny as it appears to be a statement of law. 5 42. Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 42 of the complaint. 6 43. Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 43 of the complaint. 7 44. Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 44 of the complaint. 8 9 45. Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 45 of the complaint. 10 46. Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 46 of the complaint. 11 47. Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 47 of the complaint. 12 FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION 13 (Quiet Title/Declaratory Relief Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201, NRS 30.010 et seq., and NRS 40.010 et seq. versus all Defendants) 14 48. Paragraph 48 is an incorporation paragraph that is neither admitted nor denied. 15 49. Defendant admits this Court has the power to declare the rights of Defendant to the 16 17 property in question; Defendant denies the remaining allegations. 18 50. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 50 of the complaint. 19 51. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 51 of the complaint. 20 52. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 52 of the complaint as Defendant's 21 ownership is not adverse to Plaintiffs' interest. 22 53. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 53 of the complaint. 23 24 54. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 54 of the complaint. 25 55. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 55 of the complaint. 26 56. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 56 of the complaint. 27 57. Defendant admits the issue is ripe for judicial determination. 28 5

58. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 58 of the complaint.

59. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 59 of the complaint.

60. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 60 of the complaint.

61. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 61 of the complaint.

62. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 62 of the complaint.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (Permanent and Preliminary Injunction versus All Defendants)

63. Paragraph 63 is an incorporation paragraph that is neither admitted nor denied.

64. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 64 of the complaint.

65. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 65 of the complaint.

66. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 66 of the complaint.

67. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 67 of the complaint.

68. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 68 of the complaint.

69. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 69 of the complaint.

70. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 70 of the complaint.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Unjust Enrichment versus All Defendants)

71. Paragraph 71 is an incorporation paragraph that is neither admitted nor denied.

72. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 72 of the complaint.

73. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 73 of the complaint.

74. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 74 of the complaint.

75. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 75 of the complaint.

76. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 76 of the complaint.

77. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 77 of the complaint.

78. Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 78 of the complaint.

26

27

28

1

	Case 17-05016-btb Doc 13 Entered 06/29/17 10:59:48 Page 7 of 9		
1			
1	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES		
2	Defendant asserts the following affirmative defenses, reserving the right to assert		
3	additional defenses when and if they become appropriate.		
4 5	First Affirmative Defense		
5	To the extent applicable, Defendant hereby incorporates by reference all affirmative		
7	defenses set forth in Rule 8(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, made applicable to this		
8	Adversary Proceeding pursuant to Rule 7008 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure.		
9	Second Affirmative Defense		
10	The complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.		
11	Third Affirmative Defense		
12			
13	The complaint and its claim for relief therein, are barred by the doctrine of estoppel.		
14	Fourth Affirmative Defense		
15	Plaintiffs, by their own conduct or the conduct of their predecessors in interest, have		
16	waived their claims asserted in the complaint.		
17	Fifth Affirmative Defense		
18	The causes of action in the complaint are barred, in whole or in part, because they are not		
19	supported by the material facts necessary to establish the claims.		
20	Sixth Affirmative Defense		
21			
22	Defendant reserves the right to amend its answer to correct and add defenses as further		
23	information regarding the Plaintiffs' claims becomes available to it through the course of		
24	discovery or otherwise.		

WHEREFORE, Defendant, having answered the complaint, prays that the same be
 dismissed and the Plaintiff take nothing thereby, and that Defendant be awarded reasonable costs
 and attorney fees in defending the same as allowed by law. Further, Defendant prays for

	Case 17-05016-btb Doc 13 Entered 06/29/17 10:59:48 Page 8 of 9
1	judgment against Plaintiffs declaring Defendant as the sole title owner of the property in question.
2	
3	DATED: June 29, 2017. BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP
4	/s/ Adam P. McMillen
5	Adam P. McMillen, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 10678
6	5371 Kietzke Lane Reno, Nevada 89511
7	Attorneys for Defendant Jed Margolin
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
20	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	8

BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP 5371 Kietzke Lane Reno, NV 89511 775.324.4100

	Case 17-05016-btb Doc 13 Entered 06/29/17 10:59:48 Page 9 of 9
1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>
2	Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of BROWNSTEIN
3	HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP, and on this 29 th day of June, 2017, I served the document
4	entitled ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES OF JED MARGOLIN TO THE
5	ADVERSARY COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL on the parties listed
6	below via the following:
7	Dana Jonathon Nitz, Esq.
8	Yanxiong Li, Esq.
9 10	7785 W. Sahara Ave., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89117
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Fred Sadri, as Trustee for The Star Living Trust, dated April 14, 1997; Ray Koroghli and
11	Sathsowi T. Koroghli, as Managing Trustees for Koroghli Management Trust
12	
14	VIA FIRST CLASS U.S. MAIL: by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed
15	envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Reno, Nevada for delivery to the foregoing.
16	
17	/s/ Jeff Tillison Employee of Brownstein Hyatt
18	Farber Schreck, LLP
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	9