

1 Matthew D. Francis (6978)
Adam P. McMillen (10678)
2 WATSON ROUNDS
5371 Kietzke Lane
3 Reno, NV 89511
Telephone: 775-324-4100
4 Facsimile: 775-333-8171
Attorneys for Plaintiff Jed Margolin

REC'D & FILED
2012 OCT 31 PM 1:42
ALAN GLOVER
[Signature]
DEPUTY

5
6
7 **In The First Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada**
8 **In and for Carson City**

9 **JED MARGOLIN, an individual,**

10 **Plaintiff,**

11 **vs.**

12 **OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION,**
13 **a California corporation, OPTIMA**
14 **TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a Nevada**
15 **corporation, REZA ZANDIAN aka**
16 **GOLAMREZA ZANDIANJAZI aka**
17 **GHOLAM REZA ZANDIAN aka REZA JAZI**
18 **aka J. REZA JAZI aka G. REZA JAZI aka**
19 **GHONONREZA ZANDIAN JAZI, an**
20 **individual, DOE Companies**
1-10, DOE Corporations 11-20, and DOE
Individuals 21-30,

21 **Defendants.**

Case No.: 090C00579 1B

Dept. No.: 1

DEFAULT JUDGMENT

22 WHEREAS Plaintiff filed the Amended Complaint in this action on August 11, 2011.
23 After extensive briefing regarding service on Defendants Optima Technology Corporation, a
24 Nevada corporation, and Optima Technology Corporation, a California corporation (together
25 the "Defendants"), and after the Court denied Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, Defendants
26 served and filed a General Denial in response to the Amended Complaint. The General Denial
27 was served on March 13, 2012 on behalf of the Defendants.

28 WHEREAS on March 13, 2012, Defense counsel moved to withdraw from
representing all of the individual and corporate Defendants in this action. On March 16, 2012,

1 Plaintiff filed a non-opposition to Defense counsel's Motion to Withdraw, and on April 26,
2 2012, this Court granted Defense counsel's Motion to Withdraw.

3 WHEREAS on May 15, 2012, Plaintiff moved this Court for an order compelling the
4 appearance of counsel for the Defendants or in the alternative an order striking the General
5 Denial of the Defendants. The Defendants did not respond to the motion. On June 28, 2012,
6 this Court ordered that the Defendants retain counsel and that counsel enter an appearance in
7 this matter on behalf of the Defendants by July 15, 2012. This Court also ordered that if no
8 appearance was made by that date the General Denial would be stricken.

9 WHEREAS since no appearance was made on behalf of the Defendants, Plaintiff filed
10 an application for entry of default on September 14, 2012. On September 24, 2012, this Court
11 entered a default against the Defendants. The notice of entry of default was served on
12 September 26, 2012, and filed on September 27, 2012. Now Plaintiff seeks entry of a default
13 judgment against Defendants.

14 WHEREAS Defendants are not infants or incompetent persons and are not in the
15 military service of the United States as defined by 50 U.S.C. Appx § 521.

16 WHEREAS the allegations in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint warrant entry of final
17 judgment against Defendants Optima Technology Corporation, a Nevada corporation, and
18 Optima Technology Corporation, a California corporation, for conversion, tortious
19 interference with contract, intentional interference with prospective economic advantage,
20 unjust enrichment, and unfair and deceptive trade practices.

21 WHEREAS Defendants Optima Technology Corporation, a Nevada corporation, and
22 Optima Technology Corporation, a California corporation, are jointly and severally liable to
23 Plaintiff for the principal amount of \$1,286,552.46.

24 THEREFORE, Judgment is hereby entered for Plaintiff and against Defendants Optima
25 Technology Corporation, a Nevada corporation, and Optima Technology Corporation, a
26 California corporation, for damages, along with pre-judgment interest, attorney's fees and
27 costs in the amount of \$1,286,552.46, plus interest at the legal rate, pursuant to NRS 17.130,
28 thereon from the date of default until the judgment is satisfied.

1 JUDGMENT is hereby entered against Defendants Optima Technology Corporation, a
2 Nevada corporation, and Optima Technology Corporation, a California corporation, in favor of
3 Plaintiff this 31st day of October, 2012.


4
5 
6 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 Matthew D. Francis (6978)
Adam P. McMillen (10678)
2 WATSON ROUNDS
5371 Kietzke Lane
3 Reno, NV 89511
Telephone: 775-324-4100
4 Facsimile: 775-333-8171
Attorneys for Plaintiff Jed Margolin

REC'D & FILED

2012 NOV -6 AM 11:47

ALAN GLOVER

BY  CL FRK
FIDELITY

7 **In The First Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada**
8 **In and for Carson City**

10 **JED MARGOLIN, an individual,**

11 **Plaintiff,**

12 **vs.**

13 **OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION,**
14 **a California corporation, OPTIMA**
TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a Nevada
15 **corporation, REZA ZANDIAN**
16 **aka GOLAMREZA ZANDIANJAZI**
17 **aka GHOLAM REZA ZANDIAN**
18 **aka REZA JAZI aka J. REZA JAZI**
19 **aka G. REZA JAZI aka GHONONREZA**
ZANDIAN JAZI, an individual, DOE
20 **Companies 1-10, DOE Corporations 11-20,**
and DOE Individuals 21-30,

20 **Defendants.**

Case No.: 090C00579 1B

Dept. No.: 1

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

21 TO: All parties:

22 **PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that on October 31, 2012, the Court entered a Default
23 Judgment in the above-referenced matter, against Defendants Optima Technology
24 Corporation, a Nevada corporation and Optima Technology Corporation, a California
25 corporation. Attached as Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of such Default Judgment.

26 ///

27 ///


28 ///

Affirmation Pursuant to NRS 239B.030

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document does not contain the social security number of any person.

DATED: November 5, 2012.

WATSON ROUNDS

By: 

Matthew D. Francis
Adam P. McMillen
Watson Rounds
5371 Kietzke Lane
Reno, NV 89511

Attorneys for Plaintiff Jed Margolin

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of Watson Rounds, and that on this date, I deposited for mailing, in a sealed envelope, with first-class postage prepaid, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document, **Notice of Entry of Judgment**, addressed as follows:

Reza Zandian
8775 Costa Verde Boulevard
San Diego, CA 92122

Dated: November 5, 2012



Nancy R. Lindsley

Exhibit 1

Exhibit 1

1 Matthew D. Francis (6978)
Adam P. McMillen (10678)
WATSON ROUNDS
2 5371 Kietzke Lane
Reno, NV 89511
3 Telephone: 775-324-4100
Facsimile: 775-333-8171
4 *Attorneys for Plaintiff Jed Margolin*

REC'D & FILED
2012 OCT 31 PM 1:42
ALAN GLOVER
BY J. E. GLOVER CLERK
DEPUTY

5
6
7 **In The First Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada**
8 **In and for Carson City**

9 **JED MARGOLIN, an individual,**

10 **Plaintiff,**

11 **vs.**

12 **OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION,**
13 **a California corporation, OPTIMA**
14 **TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a Nevada**
15 **corporation, REZA ZANDIAN aka**
16 **GOLAMREZA ZANDIANJAZI aka**
17 **GHOLAM REZA ZANDIAN aka REZA JAZI**
18 **aka J. REZA JAZI aka G. REZA JAZI aka**
19 **GHONONREZA ZANDIAN JAZI, an**
20 **individual, DOE Companies**
1-10, DOE Corporations 11-20, and DOE
Individuals 21-30,

21 **Defendants.**

Case No.: 090C00579 1B

Dept. No.: 1

DEFAULT JUDGMENT

22 WHEREAS Plaintiff filed the Amended Complaint in this action on August 11, 2011.
23 After extensive briefing regarding service on Defendants Optima Technology Corporation, a
24 Nevada corporation, and Optima Technology Corporation, a California corporation (together
25 the "Defendants"), and after the Court denied Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, Defendants
26 served and filed a General Denial in response to the Amended Complaint. The General Denial
27 was served on March 13, 2012 on behalf of the Defendants.

28 WHEREAS on March 13, 2012, Defense counsel moved to withdraw from
representing all of the individual and corporate Defendants in this action. On March 16, 2012,

1 Plaintiff filed a non-opposition to Defense counsel's Motion to Withdraw, and on April 26,
2 2012, this Court granted Defense counsel's Motion to Withdraw.

3 WHEREAS on May 15, 2012, Plaintiff moved this Court for an order compelling the
4 appearance of counsel for the Defendants or in the alternative an order striking the General
5 Denial of the Defendants. The Defendants did not respond to the motion. On June 28, 2012,
6 this Court ordered that the Defendants retain counsel and that counsel enter an appearance in
7 this matter on behalf of the Defendants by July 15, 2012. This Court also ordered that if no
8 appearance was made by that date the General Denial would be stricken.

9 WHEREAS since no appearance was made on behalf of the Defendants, Plaintiff filed
10 an application for entry of default on September 14, 2012. On September 24, 2012, this Court
11 entered a default against the Defendants. The notice of entry of default was served on
12 September 26, 2012, and filed on September 27, 2012. Now Plaintiff seeks entry of a default
13 judgment against Defendants.

14 WHEREAS Defendants are not infants or incompetent persons and are not in the
15 military service of the United States as defined by 50 U.S.C. Appx § 521.

16 WHEREAS the allegations in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint warrant entry of final
17 judgment against Defendants Optima Technology Corporation, a Nevada corporation, and
18 Optima Technology Corporation, a California corporation, for conversion, tortious
19 interference with contract, intentional interference with prospective economic advantage,
20 unjust enrichment, and unfair and deceptive trade practices.

21 WHEREAS Defendants Optima Technology Corporation, a Nevada corporation, and
22 Optima Technology Corporation, a California corporation, are jointly and severally liable to
23 Plaintiff for the principal amount of \$1,286,552.46.

24 THEREFORE, Judgment is hereby entered for Plaintiff and against Defendants Optima
25 Technology Corporation, a Nevada corporation, and Optima Technology Corporation, a
26 California corporation, for damages, along with pre-judgment interest, attorney's fees and
27 costs in the amount of \$1,286,552.46, plus interest at the legal rate, pursuant to NRS 17.130,
28 thereon from the date of default until the judgment is satisfied.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

JUDGMENT is hereby entered against Defendants Optima Technology Corporation, a Nevada corporation, and Optima Technology Corporation, a California corporation, in favor of Plaintiff this 31ST day of October, 2012.

James T. Russell
DISTRICT COURT JUDGE