

JOHN PETER LEE, LTD.
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
830 LAS VEGAS BLVD. SOUTH
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101
Telephone (702) 382-4044
Telecopier (702) 383-9950

1 **MOT**
2 JOHN PETER LEE, LTD.
3 JOHN PETER LEE, ESQ.
4 Nevada Bar No. 001768
5 JOHN C. COURTNEY, ESQ.
6 Nevada Bar No. 011092
7 830 Las Vegas Boulevard South
8 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
9 (702) 382-4044 Fax: (702) 383-9950
10 e-mail: info@johnpeterlee.com
11 Attorneys for Defendant Reza Zandian

RECORDED & FILED

2011 JUN -9 PM 2:40

BY *[Signature]*
DEPUTY CLERK

12 **IN THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**
13 **IN AND FOR CARSON CITY**

14 JED MARGOLIN, an individual;
15
16 Plaintiff,

17 vs.

18 OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION,
19 a California corporation, OPTIMA
20 TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a Nevada
21 coporation, REZA ZANDIAN aka
22 GOLAMREZA
23 ZANDIANJAZI aka GHOLAM REZA
24 ZANDIAN
25 aka REZA JAZI aka J. REZA JAZI AKA G. REZA
26 JAZI aka GHONONREZA ZANDIAN JAZI,
27 an individual, DOE Companites
28 1-10; DOE Corporations 11-20, and DOE
Individuals 21-30,
Defendants.

Case No.: 090C00579
Dept. No.: I

MOTION TO DISMISS
ON A SPECIAL
APPEARANCE

1334.023382-tam

COMES NOW Defendant Reza Zandian by and through his counsel John Peter Lee, Ltd., and hereby files its MOTION TO DISMISS ON A SPECIAL APPEARANCE.

This Motion is made and based upon all of the pleadings and papers on file herein, exhibits attached hereto, the attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities, and oral argument, if required by the Court.

...

...

...

JOHN PETER LEE, LTD.
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
830 LAS VEGAS BLVD. SOUTH
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101
Telephone (702) 382-4044
Telecopier (702) 383-9950

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I.

ZANDIAN IS BEFORE THIS COURT ON A SPECIAL APPEARANCE.

The Nevada Supreme Court has held that “general appearance is entered when a person (or the person’s attorney) comes into court as a party to a suit and submits to the jurisdiction of the court.” Milton v. Gesler, 107 Nev. 767, 769, 819 P.2d 245, 247 (1991). “A special appearance is entered when a person comes into court to test the court’s jurisdiction or the sufficiency of service.” Id. “Black’s law dictionary defines a general appearance as a ‘simple and unqualified. . . submission to the jurisdiction of the court’ and defines a special appearance as an appearance ‘for the purpose of testing the sufficiency of service or the jurisdiction of the court.’” Id. at fn. 3 (citing Black’s Law Dictionary 89 (5th ed. 1979)).

Defendant Golamreza Zandianjazi (hereinafter “Zandian”) hereby makes a special appearance in this case for the purpose of testing both the sufficiency of service and the jurisdiction of the court; thus, Zandian has not consented to personal jurisdiction of any Nevada court by bringing the instant motion.

II.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Universal Avionics Systems Corporation as Plaintiff filed an action in the United States District Court of Arizona (Tucson Division) under case number 4:07-cv-00588-RCC on November 9, 2007. A copy of the docket for that case is attached hereto as Exhibit “A”.

On August 18, 2008, an order was entered, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit “B”. With regard to the U.S. District Court action, neither the underlying complaint, nor the order, nor the docket carry the name of Reza Zandian (hereinafter “Zandian”). Accordingly, Zandian, as an individual, was never served with a complaint in that action. Jed Margolin (hereinafter “Margolin”) is named as a defendant in the U.S. District Court action in Arizona. Exhibits “A” & “B”.

Margolin filed a complaint with the First Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada in and for Cason City on December, 11, 2009 (hereinafter “Nevada Complaint”), a copy of which is attached as Exhibit “C”. The Nevada Complaint names Zandian as a defendant and alleges that

1 Zandian resides in San Diego, California or Las Vegas, Nevada. Id. at ¶ 4. Although Margolin
2 alleged that Zandian resides in Las Vegas or San Diego, Margolin did not attempt service on Zandian
3 in said places of alleged residence, but instead attempted service on Zandian in an entirely different
4 city, Fair Oaks, California. Exhibit “D”. Accordingly, Zandian was never served in this case either.

5 In the Nevada Complaint, paragraph 17, Margolin alleges to have filed a cross-claim for
6 declaratory relief against Zandian in the U.S. District Court action. Id. In Paragraph 18 of the
7 Complaint, Margolin alleges that an entry of a judgment in favor of Margolin was entered in that
8 action. Id. The judgment, however, was not against Zandian. See Exhibits “A” & “B”. A copy of
9 the order is attached to the Nevada Complaint, and it does not name Zandian as a defendant against
10 whom any rights were formulated. Exhibit “B”.

11 In the Nevada Complaint, Margolin wrongfully and fraudulently states that Zandian was a
12 resident of Nevada, that he was sued in Arizona before the U.S. District Court, that a judgment was
13 entered there against him and that the Nevada Complaint is filed in an attempt to domesticate the
14 U.S. District Court judgment issued in Arizona. See Exhibits “A” through “C”. Thus, Margolin
15 attached to the Nevada Complaint the only evidence necessary to determine whether Margolin
16 committed a fraud upon the court by naming Zandian in the Carson City action. Id.

17 Zandian hereby alleges that in addition to his residency, which was at all times in California,
18 there is no judgment in existence against Zandian filed in Arizona. Id. He was not served with a
19 summons and complaint in the U.S. District Court case, a summons and complaint in the instant
20 action, he was not served with a 3-Day Notice of Intent to Take Default Judgment in the instant
21 action, nor was he served with the Notice of Entry of Default filed on December 2, 2010 in the
22 instant action. Id. The Application for Default Judgments against the defendants named in the
23 Nevada Complaint was served by mail upon John Peter Lee, Ltd., although John Peter Lee, Ltd., did
24 not appear in the Carson City proceeding. Neither did Zandian.

25 In support of the Default Judgment, Margolin, the Plaintiff, filed Points and Authorities, but
26 did not indicate the basis for the enforcement of a judgment by default against Zandian. Again,
27 Zandian was not served with a copy of the Nevada Complaint or the U.S. District Court complaint
28 which forms the basis for the Nevada Complaint. Id.

JOHN PETER LEE, LTD.
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
830 LAS VEGAS BLVD. SOUTH
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101
Telephone (702) 382-4044
Telecopier (702) 383-9950

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

III.

LEGAL ANALYSIS

A. Service of the Summons and Complaint was Never Effectuated Upon Zandian.

Proper service of a summons and complaint upon an individual must be made upon the individual “defendant personally, or by leaving copies thereof at the defendant’s dwelling house or usual place of abode with some person of suitable age and discretion then residing therein, or by delivering a copy of the summons and complaint to an agent authorized by appointment or by law to receive service of process.” NRCP 4(d)(6). Pursuant to NRCP 12(b)(4), insufficiency of service of process is grounds to dismiss a complaint.

Zandian was not served a summons and complaint in the U.S. District Court action which forms the basis of the instant action. Exhibit “A”. Zandian is not mentioned in the Order issued from the U.S. District Court. Exhibits “A” & “B”. Zandian was not served a summons and complaint in the instant action. Notwithstanding, Plaintiff took a default judgment against Zandian.

Because no summons was ever issued as to Zandian in the underlying U.S. District Court action which forms the basis of the instant action, any domestication of the U.S. District Court action as it pertains to Zandian is a clear violation of Zandian’s constitutional right to notice under the Due Process Clauses of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments of the U.S. Constitution. Additionally, Zandian was not served in the instant case, in furtherance of the deprivation of Zandian’s right to due process.

Because Zandian has never been given notice as required by NRCP 4 and/or the U.S. Constitution, the default judgment as applied to Zandian must be set aside pursuant to NRCP 55(c) or 60(b), and Zandian be dismissed from the instant action upon this instant motion by special appearance.

B. Nevada Does Not Have Personal Jurisdiction Over Zandian in the Instant Action.

“The plaintiff bears the burden of producing *some* evidence in support of all facts necessary to establish personal jurisdiction [emphasis added].” Trump v. District Court, 109 Nev. 687, 692-93,

1 857 p.2d 740, 748 (1993). Here, while Plaintiff did allege that Zandian resided in wither San Diego
2 or Las Vegas, Plaintiff did not even attempt to serve Zandian in his alleged places of residence,
3 which ought to serve as the only evidence that the court needs to determine that the allegation that
4 Zandian resides in Las Vegas was nothing more than a fraud upon the court to induce the court into
5 exercising personal jurisdiction over Zandian.

6 “There are two types of personal jurisdiction: general and specific.” Trump v. District Court,
7 109 Nev. 687,699, 857 p.2d 740, 748 (1993). “General jurisdiction over the defendant ‘is
8 appropriate where the defendant’s forum activities are so ‘substantial’ or continuous and systematic’
9 that it may be deemed present in the forum.’” Id.; see also Baker v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct., 116 Nev.
10 527, 531-31, 999 P.2d 1020, 1023 (2000) (holding that “membership in the state bar, in and of itself,
11 does not subject an individual to general jurisdiction in the state of membership because such contact
12 is not substantial, continuous, or systematic.”). In this case, Plaintiff has not alleged that Zandian
13 has ever had any “forum activities” in Nevada. Thus, without more, Nevada cannot exercise general
14 personal jurisdiction over Zandian.

15 “Specific personal jurisdiction over a defendant may be established only where the cause of
16 action arises from the defendant’s contacts with the forum.” Baker, supra. “To subject a defendant
17 to specific jurisdiction, this court must determine if the defendant ‘personally established minimum
18 contacts’ so that jurisdiction would ‘comport with fair play and substantive justice [internal
19 quotations omitted].’” Id. (citing Burger King Corp. V. Rudzewicz, 471 U.S. 462, 476-77, 85 L. Ed.
20 2d 528, 105 S. Ct. 2174 (1985) (quoting International Shoe Co. v. Washington, 326 U.S. 310, 320,
21 90 L. Ed. 95, 66 S. Ct. 154 (1945)). “In order for a forum state to obtain personal jurisdiction over
22 a nonresident defendant, the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment requires that the
23 defendant have ‘minimum contacts’ with the forum state ‘such that the maintenance of the suit does
24 not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.’” Baker, supra at 531-31. Here,
25 Plaintiff has not alleged *any* contacts between Zandian and Nevada, except to allege that Zandian
26 resides in either San Diego or Las Vegas, and this is simply not enough to find that the court has
27 personal jurisdiction over Zandian.


28 ...

JOHN PETER LEE, LTD.
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
830 LAS VEGAS BLVD. SOUTH
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101
Telephone (702) 382-4044
Telecopier (702) 383-9950

1 Zandian has not consented to personal jurisdiction in Nevada. Additionally, Zandian appears
2 now, by and through his counsel, on a limited basis to respectfully refute the court's jurisdiction over
3 her. Because Zandian is appearing for the sole purpose of refuting the Court's jurisdiction, Zandian
4 has neither consented to jurisdiction nor waived the lack thereof.

5 Zandian has not been alleged to reside of the State of Nevada; instead, Plaintiff ambiguously
6 alleged that he is a resident of California *or* Nevada, then proceeded to attempt service upon him in
7 California only. Zandian has not consented to personal jurisdiction in Nevada. Plaintiff has not
8 alleged or produced any facts indicating that Zandian has had minimum contacts with the State of
9 Nevada. Thus, pursuant to NRCPC 12(b)(2), the Court must set aside the judgment against Zandian
10 pursuant to NRCPC 55(c) or 60(b) so that Zandian can be dismissed from the instant action on the
11 grounds that the court does not enjoy personal jurisdiction over Zandian.

12 DATED this 8th day of June, 2011.

13 JOHN PETER LEE, LTD:
14 BY: 
15 JOHN PETER LEE, ESQ.
16 Nevada Bar No. 001768
17 JOHN C. COURTNEY, ESQ.
18 Nevada Bar No. 011092
19 830 Las Vegas Boulevard South
20 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
21 Ph: (702) 382-4044/Fax: (702) 383-9950
22 Attorneys for Defendant Reza Zandian

23 **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

24 I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 8th day of June, 2011, a copy of the foregoing MOTION
25 TO DISMISS ON A SPECIAL APPEARANCE was served on the following parties by mailing a
26 copy thereof, first class mail, postage prepaid, addressed to:

27 Cassandra P. Joseph, Esq.
28 Watson Rounds
5371 Kietzke Lane
Reno, NV 89511


An employee of
JOHN PETER LEE, LTD.

CLOSED, STD

**U.S. District Court
DISTRICT OF ARIZONA (Tucson Division)
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 4:07-cv-00588-RCC**

Universal Avionics Systems Corporation v. Optima
Technology Group, Inc. et al
Assigned to: Judge Raner C Collins
Cause: No cause code entered

Date Filed: 11/09/2007
Date Terminated: 09/23/2008
Jury Demand: Both
Nature of Suit: 190 Contract: Other
Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Plaintiff

**Universal Avionics Systems
Corporation**

represented by **Allan Andrew Kassenoff**
Greenberg Traurig LLP
200 Park Ave
New York, NY 10166
212-801-9200
Fax: 212-801-6400
Email: kassenoffa@gtlaw.com
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Paul J Sutton
Greenberg Traurig LLP
200 Park Ave
New York, NY 10166
(212)801-9200
Fax: (212)801-6400
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Scott Joseph Bornstein ,
Greenberg Traurig LLP
200 Park Ave
New York, NY 10166
212-801-2172
Fax: 212-224-6146
Email: bornsteins@gtlaw.com
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

E Jeffrey Walsh
Greenberg Traurig LLP
2375 E Camelback Rd
Ste 700
Phoenix, AZ 85016
602-445-8406
Fax: 602-445-8100

Email: walshj@gtlaw.com
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Robert A Mandel
Greenberg Traurig LLP
2375 E Camelback Rd
Ste 700
Phoenix, AZ 85016
602-445-8000
Fax: 602-445-8100
Email: mandelr@gtlaw.com
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

Defendant

**Optima Technology Group
Incorporated**

represented by **Edward Moomjian , II**
Udall Law Firm LLP
4801 E Broadway Blvd
Ste 400
Tucson, AZ 85711
520-623-4353
Fax: 520-792-3426
Email: emoomjian@udalllaw.com
TERMINATED: 03/03/2008
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jeanna Chandler Nash
Udall Law Firm LLP
4801 E Broadway Blvd
Ste 400
Tucson, AZ 85711-3609
520-623-4353
Fax: 520-792-3426
Email: jnash@udalllaw.com
TERMINATED: 03/03/2008
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jeffrey Lynn Willis
Snell & Wilmer LLP
1 S Church Ave
Ste 1500
Tucson, AZ 85701-1612
520-882-1231
Fax: 520-884-1294
Email: jwillis@swlaw.com

Robert Alan Bernheim
Snell & Wilmer LLP
1 S Church Ave., Ste. 1500

Tucson, AZ 85701-1612
520-882-1239
Fax: 520-884-1294
Email: rbernheim@swlaw.com
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Optima Technology Corporation
TERMINATED: 08/18/2008

represented by **Jeanna Chandler Nash**
(See above for address)
TERMINATED: 03/03/2008

Defendant

Robert Adams
TERMINATED: 04/09/2008

represented by **Edward Moomjian , II**
(See above for address)
TERMINATED: 03/03/2008

Jeanna Chandler Nash
(See above for address)
TERMINATED: 03/03/2008

Jeffrey Lynn Willis
(See above for address)

Robert Alan Bernheim
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Jed Margolin

represented by **Edward Moomjian , II**
(See above for address)
TERMINATED: 03/03/2008
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jeanna Chandler Nash
(See above for address)
TERMINATED: 03/03/2008
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jeffrey Lynn Willis
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Robert Alan Bernheim
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Optima Technology Corporation
TERMINATED: 08/18/2008

ThirdParty Defendant

Joachim L Naimer

ThirdParty Defendant

Unknown Naimer

Named as Jane Doe Naimer

ThirdParty Defendant

Frank E Hummel

ThirdParty Defendant

Unknown Hummel

Named as Jane Doe Hummel

ThirdParty Plaintiff

**Optima Technology Group
Incorporated**

represented by **Edward Moomjian , II**
(See above for address)
TERMINATED: 03/03/2008

Jeanna Chandler Nash
(See above for address)
TERMINATED: 03/03/2008

Cross Claimant

**Optima Technology Group
Incorporated**

represented by **Edward Moomjian , II**
(See above for address)
TERMINATED: 03/03/2008

Jeanna Chandler Nash
(See above for address)
TERMINATED: 03/03/2008

V.

Cross Defendant

Optima Technology Corporation
TERMINATED: 07/07/2008

represented by **Jeanna Chandler Nash**
(See above for address)
TERMINATED: 03/03/2008

Counter Claimant

**Optima Technology Group
Incorporated**

represented by **Edward Moomjian , II**
(See above for address)
TERMINATED: 03/03/2008

Jeanna Chandler Nash
(See above for address)
TERMINATED: 03/03/2008
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

Counter Defendant

Universal Avionics Systems Corporation

represented by **Allan Andrew Kassenoff**
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Paul J Sutton
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Scott Joseph Bornstein ,
(See above for address)
LEAD ATTORNEY
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

E Jeffrey Walsh
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Counter Claimant

Optima Technology Group Incorporated

represented by **Edward Moomjian , II**
(See above for address)
TERMINATED: 03/03/2008
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jeanna Chandler Nash
(See above for address)
TERMINATED: 03/03/2008
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jeffrey Lynn Willis
(See above for address)

Robert Alan Bernheim
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Counter Claimant

Jed Margolin

represented by **Edward Moomjian , II**
(See above for address)
TERMINATED: 03/03/2008
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jeanna Chandler Nash
(See above for address)
TERMINATED: 03/03/2008

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jeffrey Lynn Willis
 (See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Robert Alan Bernheim
 (See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

Counter Defendant

Optima Technology Corporation

represented by **Jeanna Chandler Nash**
 (See above for address)
TERMINATED: 03/03/2008

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
11/09/2007	<u>1</u>	SEALED COMPLAINT. Filing fee received: \$ 350.00, receipt number 1549612, filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Part 1 of 2# <u>2</u> Exhibit Part 2 of 2# <u>3</u> Summons OTC# <u>4</u> Summons OTG# <u>5</u> Summons JA# <u>6</u> Summons RA# <u>7</u> Civil Cover Sheet)(Walsh, E) Modified on 1/25/2008 (DNO, SEALED PER ORDER <u>39</u>). Modified on 2/15/2008 (APJ,). (Entered: 11/09/2007)
11/09/2007		This case has been assigned to the Honorable Raner C. Collins. All future pleadings or documents should bear the correct case number: CIV-07-588-TUC-RCC. (GPA,) (Entered: 11/15/2007)
11/15/2007	<u>2</u>	Summons Issued as to Optima Technology Corporation. (GPA,). *** IMPORTANT: You must select "Document and stamps" or "Document and comments" on the print screen in order for the court seal to appear on the summons you print. (Entered: 11/15/2007)
11/15/2007	<u>3</u>	Summons Issued as to Optima Technology Group, Inc.. (GPA,). *** IMPORTANT: You must select "Document and stamps" or "Document and comments" on the print screen in order for the court seal to appear on the summons you print. (Entered: 11/15/2007)
11/15/2007	<u>4</u>	Summons Issued as to Jed Margolin. (GPA,). *** IMPORTANT: You must select "Document and stamps" or "Document and comments" on the print screen in order for the court seal to appear on the summons you print. (Entered: 11/15/2007)
11/15/2007	<u>5</u>	Summons Issued as to Robert Adams. (GPA,). *** IMPORTANT: You must select "Document and stamps" or "Document and comments" on the print screen in order for the court seal to appear on the summons you print. (Entered: 11/15/2007)
11/15/2007	<u>6</u>	Notice re electronically sending a magistrate election form to filer by

		Universal Avionics Systems Corporation (GPA,) (Entered: 11/15/2007)
12/17/2007	<u>7</u>	Quarterly MOTION for Extension of Time To Answer based on Stipulation by Optima Technology Corporation, Robert Adams, Jed Margolin. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Supplement Stipulation, # <u>2</u> Text of Proposed Order Order) (Chandler, Jeanna) (Entered: 12/17/2007)
12/19/2007	<u>8</u>	ORDER granting <u>7</u> Motion for Extension of Time. Dfts have up to 1/7/08 to serve/file their answer. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 12/18/07.(SSU,) (Entered: 12/19/2007)
01/04/2008	<u>9</u>	MOTION for Admission Pro Hac Vice as to attorney Scott J Bornstein on behalf of Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (BAS,) (Entered: 01/04/2008)
01/04/2008	<u>10</u>	MOTION for Admission Pro Hac Vice as to attorney Paul J Sutton on behalf of Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (BAS,) (Entered: 01/04/2008)
01/04/2008	<u>11</u>	MOTION for Admission Pro Hac Vice as to attorney Allan A Kassenoff on behalf of Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (BAS,) (Entered: 01/04/2008)
01/04/2008		PRO HAC VICE FEE PAID. \$ 100, receipt number PHX066316 as to Scott J Bornstein. (BAS,) (Entered: 01/04/2008)
01/04/2008		PRO HAC VICE FEE PAID. \$ 100, receipt number PHX066315 as to Paul J Sutton. (BAS,) (Entered: 01/04/2008)
01/04/2008		PRO HAC VICE FEE PAID. \$ 100, receipt number PHX066314 as to Allan A Kassenoff. (BAS,) (Entered: 01/04/2008)
01/04/2008	<u>12</u>	ORDER pursuant to General Order 05-25 granting <u>9</u> Motion for Admission Pro Hac Vice; granting <u>10</u> Motion for Admission Pro Hac Vice; granting <u>11</u> Motion for Admission Pro Hac Vice.Per the Court's Administrative Policies and Procedures Manual, applicant has five (5) days in which to register as a user of the Electronic Filing System. Registration to be accomplished via the court's website at www.azd.uscourts.gov. (BAS,)(This is a TEXT ENTRY ONLY. There is no.pdf document associated with this entry.) (Entered: 01/04/2008)
01/07/2008	<u>13</u>	MOTION to Dismiss Case by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Robert Adams. (Chandler, Jeanna) Modified on 1/9/2008 (SSU, DOCUMENT FILED WITH INCORRECT CASE NUMBER AND DOCUMENT NOT IN COMPLIANCE WITH LRCiv 7.1(c). ATTORNEY NOTICED). (Entered: 01/07/2008)
01/07/2008	<u>16</u>	SEALED LODGED Proposed Memorandum in Support of Motion to Dismiss Adams/Optima re: 14 MOTION to Seal Document re Memorandum in Support of Adams/Optima Motion to Dismiss. Document to be filed by Clerk if Motion to Seal is granted. Filed by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Robert Adams. (Chandler, Jeanna) (Entered: 01/07/2008)
01/07/2008	<u>17</u>	MOTION to Dismiss Case for Lack of Jurisdiction by Robert Adams. (Chandler, Jeanna) Modified on 1/9/2008 (SSU, DOCUMENT FILED WITH

		INCORRECT CASE NUMBER AND DOCUMENT NOT IN COMPLIANCE WITH LRCiv 7.1(c). ATTORNEY NOTICED). (Entered: 01/07/2008)
01/07/2008	<u>20</u>	SEALED LODGED Proposed Memorandum in Support of Adams Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction re: 18 MOTION to Seal Document re Memorandum in Support of Motion To Dismiss. Document to be filed by Clerk if Motion to Seal is granted. Filed by Robert Adams. (Chandler, Jeanna) (Entered: 01/07/2008)
01/07/2008	<u>21</u>	MOTION to Dismiss Case for Lack of Jurisdiction by Jed Margolin. (Chandler, Jeanna) Modified on 1/9/2008 (SSU, DOCUMENT FILED WITH INCORRECT CASE NUMBER AND DOCUMENT NOT IN COMPLIANCE WITH LRCiv 7.1(c). ATTORNEY NOTICED). (Entered: 01/07/2008)
01/07/2008	<u>24</u>	SEALED LODGED Proposed Memorandum in Support of Margolins Motion to Dismiss re: 22 MOTION to Seal Document re Memorandum in Support of Margolins Motion to Dismiss. Document to be filed by Clerk if Motion to Seal is granted. Filed by Jed Margolin. (Chandler, Jeanna) (Entered: 01/07/2008)
01/07/2008	<u>27</u>	ANSWER to <u>1</u> Complaint, with Jury Demand by Optima Technology Group, Inc..(Chandler, Jeanna) Modified on 1/9/2008 (SSU, DOCUMENT FILED WITH INCORRECT CASE NUMBER AND DOCUMENT NOT IN COMPLIANCE WITH LRCiv 7.1(c). ATTORNEY NOTICED). (Entered: 01/07/2008)
01/07/2008	<u>28</u>	Corporate Disclosure Statement by Optima Technology Group, Inc. (Chandler, Jeanna) TEXT Modified on 1/8/2008 (SSU, DOCUMENT FILED WITH INCORRECT CASE NUMBER). (Entered: 01/07/2008)
01/08/2008	<u>29</u>	MOTION for Leave to File Excess Pages by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Robert Adams. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order Proposed Order) (Chandler, Jeanna) Modified on 1/9/2008 (SSU, DOCUMENT FILED WITH INCORRECT CASE NUMBER AND DOCUMENT NOT IN COMPLIANCE WITH LRCiv 7.1(c). ATTORNEY NOTICED). (Entered: 01/08/2008)
01/08/2008	<u>31</u>	ORDER granting <u>14</u> Motion to Seal Document ; granting <u>18</u> Motion to Seal Document ; granting <u>22</u> Motion to Seal Document. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 1/8/08.(SGG,) (Entered: 01/09/2008)
01/08/2008	<u>32</u>	Sealed Document: Memorandum Per Order <u>31</u> filed by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Robert Adams. (SGG,) (Entered: 01/09/2008)
01/08/2008	<u>33</u>	Sealed Document: Memorandum Per Order <u>31</u> filed by Robert Adams. (SGG,) (Entered: 01/09/2008)
01/08/2008	<u>34</u>	Sealed Document: Memorandum Per Order <u>31</u> filed by Jed Margolin. (SGG,) (Entered: 01/09/2008)
01/09/2008	<u>30</u>	ORDER granting <u>29</u> Motion for Leave to File Excess Pages. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 1/9/08.(SSU,) (Entered: 01/09/2008)

01/22/2008	<u>36</u>	First MOTION for Extension of Time Extension of Deadline under Rule 14 (A)(1) <i>Unopposed</i> by Optima Technology Group, Inc.. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Moomjian, Edward) DOCUMENT NOT IN COMPLIANCE WITH LRCiv7.1(c). ATTORNEY NOTICED. Modified on 1/24/2008 (SSU,). (Entered: 01/22/2008)
01/23/2008	<u>37</u>	ORDER granting <u>36</u> Motion for Extension of Time. Deadline for filing third party claims as a right is extended until and including 1/24/08. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 1/22/08.(SSU,) (Entered: 01/23/2008)
01/24/2008	<u>38</u>	AMENDED ANSWER to <i>COMPLAINT</i> , THIRD PARTY COMPLAINT against JOACHIM L. NAIMER, JANE DOE NAIMER, FRANK E. HUMMEL, JANE DOE HUMMEL, CROSSCLAIM against Optima Technology Corporation, COUNTERCLAIM against Universal Avionics Systems Corporation by Optima Technology Group, Inc.. (Moomjian, Edward) DOCUMENT FILED WITH INCORRECT CASE NUMBER. TEXT Modified on 1/25/2008 (SSU,). (Entered: 01/24/2008)
01/24/2008	<u>39</u>	SEALED ORDER granting 35 Motion to Seal Document ; denying 25 Motion to Seal Document. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 01/23/08. (DNO,) (Entered: 01/25/2008)
01/30/2008	<u>40</u>	Notice re Summons by Optima Technology Group, Inc. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Summons)(Moomjian, Edward) (Entered: 01/30/2008)
01/30/2008	<u>41</u>	Summons Issued as to Optima Technology Group, Inc., Optima Technology Corporation. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Summons)(BJW,). *** IMPORTANT: You must select "Document and stamps" or "Document and comments" on the print screen in order for the court seal to appear on the summons you print. (Entered: 01/30/2008)
02/06/2008	<u>42</u>	Notice re Summons to Frank E. Hummel by Optima Technology Group, Inc. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Summons Jane Doe Hummel, # <u>2</u> Summons Joachim L. Naimer, # <u>3</u> Summons Jane Doe Naimer)(Chandler, Jeanna) (Entered: 02/06/2008)
02/06/2008	<u>43</u>	Summons Issued as to Joachim L Naimer, Jane Doe Naimer, Frank E Hummel, Jane Doe Hummel. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Summons, # <u>2</u> Summons, # <u>3</u> Summons)(BJW,). *** IMPORTANT: You must select "Document and stamps" or "Document and comments" on the print screen in order for the court seal to appear on the summons you print. (Entered: 02/06/2008)
02/11/2008	<u>48</u>	SEALED MOTION to Seal Document by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (DNO,) (Entered: 02/15/2008)
02/13/2008	<u>44</u>	AFFIDAVIT of Phyllis Callahan <i>re Affidavit of Process Server as to Service Upon Reza Zandian (Statutory Agent) for Optima Technology Corporation</i> by Cross Claimant Optima Technology Group, Inc.. (Chandler, Jeanna) (Entered: 02/13/2008)
02/13/2008	<u>45</u>	MOTION for Extension of Time to File Answer re Counterclaims and Third-Party Claims by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Supplement Stipulation re Enlargement of Time for Plaintiff

		Counterdefendant and Third-Party Defendants to Answer or Otherwise Respond to Counterclaims and Third-Party Claims, # <u>2</u> Text of Proposed Order Order Enlarging Time)(Walsh, E) (Entered: 02/13/2008)
02/13/2008	<u>46</u>	Corporate Disclosure Statement by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Walsh, E) (Entered: 02/13/2008)
02/14/2008	<u>47</u>	ORDER granting <u>45</u> Motion for Extension of Time to Answer. Joachim L Naimer answer due 4/14/2008; Jane Doe Naimer answer due 4/14/2008; Frank E Hummel answer due 4/14/2008; Jane Doe Hummel answer due 4/14/2008; Universal Avionics Systems Corporation answer due 3/18/2008. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 2/14/08.(SSU,) (Entered: 02/14/2008)
02/15/2008	<u>49</u>	SUMMONS Returned Executed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. Jed Margolin served on 11/26/2007. (Walsh, E) (Entered: 02/15/2008)
02/15/2008	<u>50</u>	SUMMONS Returned Executed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. Optima Technology Corporation served on 11/28/2007. (Walsh, E) (Entered: 02/15/2008)
02/15/2008	<u>51</u>	SEALED ORDER granting <u>48</u> Motion to Seal Document. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 02/15/08.(SGG,) (Entered: 02/20/2008)
02/15/2008	<u>52</u>	SEALED RESPONSE to Motion re <u>13</u> MOTION to Dismiss Case filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation., Sealed per Order <u>51</u> . (SGG,) (Entered: 02/20/2008)
02/15/2008	<u>53</u>	SEALED RESPONSE to Motion re <u>17</u> MOTION to Dismiss Case for Lack of Jurisdiction filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. Sealed per Order <u>51</u> . (SGG,) (Entered: 02/20/2008)
02/15/2008	<u>54</u>	SEALED RESPONSE to Motion re <u>21</u> MOTION to Dismiss Case for Lack of Jurisdiction filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. Sealed per Order <u>51</u> . (SGG,) (Entered: 02/20/2008)
02/15/2008	<u>55</u>	SEALED MOTION to Expedite Discovery by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. Sealed per Order <u>51</u> . (SGG,) (Entered: 02/20/2008)
02/15/2008	<u>56</u>	Sealed Document: Memorandum and Support of <u>55</u> filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. Sealed per Order <u>51</u> . (SGG,) (Entered: 02/20/2008)
02/15/2008	<u>57</u>	Sealed Document: Declaration filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. Sealed per Order <u>51</u> (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit, # <u>2</u> Exhibit, # <u>3</u> Exhibit)(SGG,) (Entered: 02/20/2008)
02/15/2008	<u>58</u>	Sealed Document: Declaration filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. Sealed per Order <u>51</u> . (SGG,) (Entered: 02/20/2008)
02/28/2008	<u>59</u>	MOTION to Expedite Motion for Extension of Time by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Robert Adams, Jed Margolin. (Moomjian, Edward) (Entered: 02/28/2008)
02/28/2008	<u>60</u>	MOTION for Extension of Time Extension of Time <i>Motion for Extension of Time to Submit Replies</i> by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Robert Adams,

		Jed Margolin. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Moomjian, Edward) (Entered: 02/28/2008)
02/28/2008	<u>61</u>	ORDER granting <u>59</u> Motion to Expedite.; granting <u>60</u> Motion for Extension of Time. Dfts have 30 days up to and including 3/31/08 to file their replies in support of Motions to Dismiss and Response/Opposition to the Motion for Expedited Discovery. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 2/28/08.(SSU,) (Entered: 02/28/2008)
02/28/2008	<u>62</u>	MEMORANDUM re: In Opposition to Motion for Extension of Time by Plaintiff Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Walsh, E) (Entered: 02/28/2008)
03/03/2008	<u>64</u>	SEALED ORDER granting <u>63</u> Motion to Withdraw. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 02/28/08.(DNO,) (Entered: 03/05/2008)
03/18/2008	<u>65</u>	ANSWER to <u>38</u> Amended Answer to Complaint, Third Party Complaint, Crossclaim, Counterclaim,,, by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Walsh, E) (Entered: 03/18/2008)
04/01/2008	<u>66</u>	NOTICE of Appearance by Jeffrey Lynn Willis on behalf of Optima Technology Group, Inc., Robert Adams, Jed Margolin (Willis, Jeffrey) (Entered: 04/01/2008)
04/01/2008	<u>67</u>	STIPULATION for <u>72-Hour Extension of Time to File Replies in Support of Motions to Dismiss and Response to Plaintiff's Motion for Expedited Discovery (Second Request)</u> by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Robert Adams, Jed Margolin. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Willis, Jeffrey) (Entered: 04/01/2008)
04/01/2008	<u>68</u>	ORDER re <u>67</u> STIPULATION for 72-Hour Extension of Time to File Replies in Support of Motions to Dismiss and Response to Plaintiff's Motion for Expedited Discovery, due 4/3/08. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 4/1/08. (KMF,) (Entered: 04/01/2008)
04/02/2008	<u>69</u>	NOTICE of Appearance by Jeffrey Lynn Willis on behalf of Optima Technology Group, Inc., Robert Adams, Jed Margolin (Willis, Jeffrey) (Entered: 04/02/2008)
04/02/2008	<u>70</u>	APPLICATION for Entry of Default by Defendants Optima Technology Group, Inc., against Optima Technology Corporation, Inc.. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order Proposed Entry of Default)(Willis, Jeffrey) Modified on 4/2/2008 to correct applicant (BJW,). (Entered: 04/02/2008)
04/03/2008	<u>71</u>	REPLY in Support re <u>21</u> MOTION to Dismiss Case for Lack of Jurisdiction and Request for Stay of Proceedings on Motion to Dismiss filed by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Robert Adams, Jed Margolin. (Willis, Jeffrey) (Entered: 04/03/2008)
04/03/2008	<u>72</u>	REPLY in Support re <u>13</u> MOTION to Dismiss Case filed by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Robert Adams, Jed Margolin. (Willis, Jeffrey) (Entered: 04/03/2008)
04/03/2008	<u>73</u>	RESPONSE to Motion re <u>55</u> MOTION to Expedite Discovery filed by

		Optima Technology Group, Inc., Robert Adams, Jed Margolin. (Willis, Jeffrey) (Entered: 04/03/2008)
04/07/2008	<u>74</u>	Clerk's ENTRY OF DEFAULT as to Optima Technology Corporation (PAB,) (Entered: 04/07/2008)
04/09/2008	<u>75</u>	ORDER granting <u>13</u> Motion to Dismiss Case and as amended by <u>72</u> Reply; Counts 5, 6, 7 of Plaintiff's Complaint are dismissed without prejudice to Plaintiff refiling thises claims in state court. Counts 2-4 and 7-12 of Defendants' state law counterclaims, cross-claims and third-party claims are dismissed without prejudice. Ordered denying as moot <u>17</u> Motion to Dismiss Case for Lack of Jurisdiction; dft Adams is dismissed. Ordered denying <u>21</u> Motion to Dismiss Case for Lack of Jurisdiction and <u>71</u> Request for a Stay of Proceedings. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 4/9/08.(SSU,) (Entered: 04/09/2008)
04/10/2008	<u>76</u>	APPLICATION for Entry of Default by Defendant Optima Technology Group, Inc. against Optima Technology Corporation. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A, # <u>2</u> Exhibit B, # <u>3</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Willis, Jeffrey) (Entered: 04/10/2008)
04/14/2008	<u>77</u>	Clerk's ENTRY OF DEFAULT as to Optima Technology Corporation. (SSU,) (Entered: 04/14/2008)
04/29/2008	<u>78</u>	STIPULATION by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Optima Technology Corporation, Universal Avionics Systems Corporation, Robert Adams, Jed Margolin. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order Order)(Walsh, E) (Entered: 04/29/2008)
05/06/2008	<u>79</u>	ORDER denying <u>55</u> Motion to Expedite, pursuant to Stipulation <u>78</u> . Pla Universal Avionics Systems Corporation may file an amended complaint to reflect the effect of this Court's 4/9/08 Order on or before 5/9/08. Dfts Optima Technology Group and Jed Margolin will respond to the amended complaint within ten days of service. Universal will file a reply to any counterclaims within ten days after being served with such counterclaims. Any and all responsive pleadings that were or may have been due before the date of this Order are vacated in favor of the schedule set forth herein. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 4/29/08.(JEMB,) (Entered: 05/06/2008)
05/13/2008	<u>82</u>	**PHRASE "OR PATENT TROLL" PG1 LINE 24, & PARAGRAPHS 37-43 STRIKEN PER ORDER <u>101</u> **Sealed Document: FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (JEMB,) Modified on 7/7/2008 (JEMB,TO REFLECT STRICKEN SECTIONS). (Entered: 05/16/2008)
05/14/2008	<u>81</u>	ORDER granting 80 Motion to Seal Document. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 5/14/08.(JEMB,) (Entered: 05/16/2008)
05/16/2008	<u>83</u>	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation (Walsh, E) (Entered: 05/16/2008)
05/20/2008	<u>84</u>	Sealed MOTION to Seal Document re Motion to Unseal Chandler & Udall, LLP'S Ex Parte Motion to Withdraw as Counsel by Universal Avionics

		Systems Corporation. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Walsh, E) Modified on 5/21/2008 to seal document(PAB,). (Entered: 05/20/2008)
05/20/2008	<u>85</u>	SEALED LODGED Proposed Motion to Unseal Chandler & Udall, LLP's Ex Parte Motion to Withdraw as Counsel re: <u>84</u> MOTION to Seal Document re Motion to Unseal Chandler & Udall, LLP'S Ex Parte Motion to Withdraw as Counsel. Document to be filed by Clerk if Motion to Seal is granted. Filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Walsh, E) (Entered: 05/20/2008)
05/20/2008	<u>86</u>	SEALED LODGED Proposed Declaration of Allan A. Kassenoff in Support of Plaintiff Universal Avionics Systems Corporation's Motion to Unseal Chandler & Udall, LLP's Ex Parte Motion to Withdraw as Counsel re: <u>84</u> MOTION to Seal Document re Motion to Unseal Chandler & Udall, LLP'S Ex Parte Motion to Withdraw as Counsel. Document to be filed by Clerk if Motion to Seal is granted. Filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit)(Walsh, E) (Entered: 05/20/2008)
05/21/2008	<u>89</u>	ORDER granting <u>84</u> Motion to Seal Document. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 5/20/08.(JEMB,) (Entered: 05/22/2008)
05/21/2008	<u>90</u>	MOTION to Unseal Document re Chandler & Udall, LLP's Ex Parte Motion to Withdraw as Counsel by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (JEMB,) (Entered: 05/22/2008)
05/21/2008	<u>91</u>	Sealed Document: Declaration filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit)(JEMB,) (Entered: 05/22/2008)
05/22/2008	<u>87</u>	MOTION to Strike <i>Allegations From Amended Complaint</i> by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Jed Margolin. (Bernheim, Robert) (Entered: 05/22/2008)
05/22/2008	<u>88</u>	Additional Attachments to Main Document re <u>87</u> MOTION to Strike <i>Allegations From Amended Complaint Proposed Order Granting Defendants' Motion to Strike Allegations from Amended Complaint</i> by Defendants Optima Technology Group, Inc., Jed Margolin. (Bernheim, Robert) (Entered: 05/22/2008)
05/29/2008	<u>92</u>	RESPONSE in Opposition re <u>90</u> MOTION to Unseal Document re Chandler & Udall, LLP's Ex Parte Motion to Withdraw as Counsel filed by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Jed Margolin. (Bernheim, Robert) (Entered: 05/29/2008)
06/04/2008	<u>93</u>	RESPONSE in Opposition re <u>87</u> MOTION to Strike <i>Allegations From Amended Complaint</i> filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Walsh, E) (Entered: 06/04/2008)
06/05/2008	<u>94</u>	REPLY in Support re <u>90</u> MOTION to Unseal Document re Chandler & Udall, LLP's Ex Parte Motion to Withdraw as Counsel filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Walsh, E) (Entered: 06/05/2008)
06/09/2008	<u>96</u>	SEALED ORDER denying <u>90</u> Motion to Unseal Document. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 6/9/08.(JEMB,) (Entered: 06/12/2008)
06/11/2008	<u>95</u>	Notice re Joint Rule 26(f) Report and Respective Case Management Plans by

		Optima Technology Group, Inc., Universal Avionics Systems Corporation (Willis, Jeffrey) (Entered: 06/11/2008)
06/18/2008	<u>97</u>	REPLY to Response to Motion re <u>87</u> MOTION to Strike <i>Allegations From Amended Complaint</i> filed by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Jed Margolin. (Bernheim, Robert) (Entered: 06/18/2008)
06/18/2008	<u>98</u>	MOTION for Default Judgment as to Cross-Defendants Optima Technology Corp. (a CA corp.) and Optima Technology Corp.(a NV corp.) by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Robert Adams, Jed Margolin. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order [Proposed] Form of Judgment)(Bernheim, Robert) (Entered: 06/18/2008)
06/23/2008	<u>99</u>	RESPONSE in Opposition re <u>98</u> MOTION for Default Judgment as to Cross-Defendants Optima Technology Corp. (a CA corp.) and Optima Technology Corp.(a NV corp.) MOTION for Default Judgment as to Cross-Defendants Optima Technology Corp. (a CA corp.) and Optima Technology Corp.(a NV corp.) filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Walsh, E) (Entered: 06/23/2008)
06/27/2008	<u>100</u>	Reply re <u>99</u> Response in Opposition to Motion, by Defendant Optima Technology Group, Inc.. (Bernheim, Robert) (Entered: 06/27/2008)
07/07/2008	<u>101</u>	ORDER granting in part and denying in part <u>87</u> Motion to Strike, Plaintiff may file an amended complaint by 7/15/08; granting <u>98</u> Motion for Default Judgment against Cross-Dfts Optima Technology Corporation, a CA Corporation, and Optima Technology Corporation, a NV Corporation. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 7/2/08.(SSU,) (Entered: 07/07/2008)
07/08/2008	<u>102</u>	REQUEST <i>For Entry of Separate Judgment Under Rule 58(d)</i> by Defendants Optima Technology Group, Inc., Robert Adams, Jed Margolin. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Proposed Form of Judgment)(Bernheim, Robert) (Entered: 07/08/2008)
07/10/2008	<u>103</u>	Notice re of Service of Defendant Optima Technology Group, Inc.'s First Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff by Optima Technology Group, Inc. (Willis, Jeffrey) (Entered: 07/10/2008)
07/15/2008	<u>104</u>	AMENDED COMPLAINT <i>Second</i> against Optima Technology Corporation, Optima Technology Group, Inc., Jed Margolin; Jury Demand, filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation.(Walsh, E) (Entered: 07/15/2008)
07/15/2008	<u>105</u>	AFFIDAVIT of Process Server Dean Nichols <i>on Mercury Computer Systems, Inc.</i> by Plaintiff Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Subpoena)(Walsh, E) (Entered: 07/15/2008)
07/15/2008	<u>106</u>	AFFIDAVIT of Process Server Ronald Bodtke <i>for Service on Reza Zandian</i> by Plaintiff Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit Subpoena)(Walsh, E) (Entered: 07/15/2008)
07/15/2008	<u>107</u>	NOTICE of Deposition of Jed Margolin, filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Walsh, E) (Entered: 07/15/2008)
07/15/2008	<u>108</u>	NOTICE of Deposition of Robert Adams, filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Walsh, E) (Entered: 07/15/2008)

07/15/2008	<u>109</u>	Notice re Service of Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories to Defendant Optima Technology Group, Inc. by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation (Walsh, E) TEXT HAS BEEN MODIFIED TO REFLECT CORRECT DOCUMENT TITLE, PER ATTORNEY. Modified on 7/16/2008 (SSU,). (Entered: 07/15/2008)
07/16/2008	<u>110</u>	Notice re Service of Plaintiff's First Request for Production of Documents to Defendant Optima Technology Group, Inc. by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation (Walsh, E) (Entered: 07/16/2008)
07/18/2008	<u>111</u>	NOTICE of Deposition of UAS, filed by Optima Technology Group, Inc.. (Willis, Jeffrey) (Entered: 07/18/2008)
07/18/2008	<u>112</u>	NOTICE of Deposition of Joaquin Naimer, filed by Optima Technology Group, Inc.. (Willis, Jeffrey) (Entered: 07/18/2008)
07/18/2008	<u>113</u>	NOTICE of Deposition of Don Berlin, filed by Optima Technology Group, Inc.. (Willis, Jeffrey) (Entered: 07/18/2008)
07/18/2008	<u>114</u>	NOTICE of Deposition of Frank Hummel, filed by Optima Technology Group, Inc.. (Willis, Jeffrey) (Entered: 07/18/2008)
07/21/2008	<u>115</u>	MOTION for Reconsideration re Of the Court's Default Ruling Against Optima Technology Corporation Filed July7, 2008 by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A)(Mandel, Robert) (Entered: 07/21/2008)
07/23/2008	<u>116</u>	MOTION for Hearing or Conference re: Rule 16 Conference by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Jed Margolin. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A, # <u>2</u> Exhibit B, # <u>3</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Willis, Jeffrey) (Entered: 07/23/2008)
07/25/2008	<u>117</u>	APPLICATION for Entry of Default by Plaintiff Universal Avionics Systems Corporation against Optima Technology Corporation. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order Entry of Default)(Mandel, Robert) (Entered: 07/25/2008)
07/25/2008	<u>118</u>	DECLARATION of Declaration of Allan A. Kassenoff in Support of Plaintiff's Application for Entry of Default re <u>117</u> Application for Entry of Default by Plaintiff Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A, # <u>2</u> Exhibit B)(Mandel, Robert) (Entered: 07/25/2008)
07/28/2008	<u>119</u>	RESPONSE in Opposition re <u>116</u> MOTION for Hearing or Conference re: Rule 16 Conference <i>and Expedited Stay of Proceedings Pending Conference</i> filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A, # <u>2</u> Exhibit B, # <u>3</u> Exhibit C)(Mandel, Robert) (Entered: 07/28/2008)
07/29/2008	<u>120</u>	Clerk's ENTRY OF DEFAULT as to Optima Technology Corporation (SSU,) (Entered: 07/29/2008)
07/29/2008	<u>121</u>	ORDER granting in part and denying in part <u>116</u> Motion; Court will set scheduling conference but will not grant a stay of the proceedings. Telephonic Scheduling Conference set for 8/28/2008 10:00 AM before Judge Raner C Collins' law clerk, Isaac Rothschild. Further ordered, parties file with the Court a joint report reflecting the results of the conference by 8/25/08. Signed

		by Judge Raner C Collins on 7/29/08.(SSU,) (Entered: 07/29/2008)
07/29/2008	<u>122</u>	<i>Optima Technology Group and Jed Margolin's ANSWER to 104 Amended Complaint and, COUNTERCLAIM against Optima Technology Corporation by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Jed Margolin.(Bernheim, Robert)</i> (Entered: 07/29/2008)
07/31/2008	<u>123</u>	MOTION FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT by Plaintiff Universal Avionics Systems Corporation against Optima Technology Corporation. (Mandel, Robert) EVENT AND TEXT MODIFIED FROM Application for Default Judgment TO Motion for Default Judgment. Modified on 8/5/2008 (SSU,). (Entered: 07/31/2008)
08/06/2008	<u>124</u>	Notice re Service of Requests for Production to Garmin International, Inc. by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Jed Margolin (Bernheim, Robert) (Entered: 08/06/2008)
08/06/2008	<u>125</u>	Notice re Answers to Universal Avionics Systems Corporation's First Set of Interrogatories by Optima Technology Group, Inc. (Willis, Jeffrey) (Entered: 08/06/2008)
08/12/2008	<u>126</u>	Reply <i>TO DEFENDANT OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC.'S COUNTERCLAIMS</i> by Plaintiff Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Mandel, Robert) (Entered: 08/12/2008)
08/13/2008	<u>127</u>	Notice re SERVICE OF OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC.'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation (Mandel, Robert) (Entered: 08/13/2008)
08/18/2008	<u>128</u>	Notice re Service of Responses to Universal Avionics Systems Corporation's First Request for Production of Documents and Things by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Jed Margolin (Bernheim, Robert) (Entered: 08/18/2008)
08/18/2008	<u>129</u>	ORDER denying <u>115</u> Motion for Reconsideration ; granting <u>123</u> Motion for Default Judgment. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 8/18/08.(CLJ,) (Entered: 08/18/2008)
08/18/2008	<u>130</u>	DEFAULT JUDGMENT in favor of Universal Avionics Systems Corporation against Optima Technology Corporation. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 8/18/08. (CLJ,) (Entered: 08/18/2008)
08/18/2008	<u>131</u>	ORDER that Final Judgment entered against Cross-Defendants Optima Technology Corporation. ***See attached PDF for complete information***. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 8/18/08. (CLJ,) (Entered: 08/18/2008)
08/18/2008	<u>132</u>	ORDER that Final Judgment entered against Defendant Optima Technology Corporation. ***See attached PDF for complete information***. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 8/18/08. (CLJ,) (Entered: 08/18/2008)
08/18/2008	<u>133</u>	CLERK'S JUDGMENT in favor of Universal Avionics Systems Corporation against Optima Technology Corporation. Cross-defendant Optima Technology Corporation has been terminated. Signed by Judge Raner C

		Collins on 8/18/08. (CLJ,) (Entered: 08/18/2008)
08/18/2008	<u>134</u>	CLERK'S JUDGMENT in favor of Universal Avionics Systems Corporation against Optima Technology Corporation. Defendant Optima Technology Corporation has been terminated. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 8/18/08. (CLJ,) (Entered: 08/18/2008)
08/25/2008	<u>135</u>	NOTICE of Deposition of Optima Technology Group 30(b)(6), filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Mandel, Robert) (Entered: 08/25/2008)
08/25/2008	<u>136</u>	REPORT of Joint Rule 26(f) Report and Respective Case Management Plans by Defendants Optima Technology Group, Inc., Jed Margolin, Plaintiff Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Bernheim, Robert) (Entered: 08/25/2008)
08/26/2008	<u>137</u>	Notice re Notice of Service of Initial Disclosures by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation (Mandel, Robert) (Entered: 08/26/2008)
08/28/2008	<u>138</u>	Notice re Service of Defendants' Rule 26(a)(1) Initial Disclosure Statement by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Jed Margolin (Bernheim, Robert) (Entered: 08/28/2008)
08/28/2008	<u>139</u>	SCHEDULING ORDER: Discovery due by 9/12/2009. Dispositive motions due by 11/12/2009. Proposed Pretrial Order due by 11/25/2009. Status Report due by 1/5/2009. See attached PDF for additional information. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 8/28/08. (SSU,) (Entered: 08/28/2008)
09/05/2008	<u>140</u>	MOTION for Extension of Time <i>To File Briefs</i> by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Jed Margolin. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order) (Bernheim, Robert) (Entered: 09/05/2008)
09/08/2008	<u>141</u>	ORDER granting <u>140</u> Motion for Extension of Time. Dft's briefs re: prejudice resulting from disputed patent prosecution exclusion be filed by 9/12/08, Dft's briefs re: preliminary invalidity contentions be filed by 9/15/08 and Plaintiff's brief re: case bifurcation be filed by 9/15/08. See attached PDF for additional information. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 9/8/08.(SSU,) (Entered: 09/08/2008)
09/15/2008	<u>142</u>	STIPULATION <i>to Extend Deadlines to File Briefs</i> by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Jed Margolin, Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Bernheim, Robert) (Entered: 09/15/2008)
09/16/2008	<u>143</u>	ORDER granting <u>142</u> Stipulation : dfts have until 9/19/08 to file their briefs re: prejudice resulting from the disputed patent prosecution exclusion, 9/22/08 to file briefs re: preliminary invalidity contentions, Plaintiff have until 9/22/08 to file their brief re: case bifurcation. All parties have 10 days to file responsive memorandum after the initial briefs are filed. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 9/16/08. (SSU,) (Entered: 09/16/2008)
09/19/2008	<u>144</u>	BRIEF <i>Re Prejudice Caused by Universal's Proposed Restriction Against Patent Prosecution</i> by Defendants Optima Technology Group, Inc., Jed Margolin. (Bernheim, Robert) (Entered: 09/19/2008)

09/22/2008	<u>145</u>	STIPULATION <i>to Extend Deadlines to File Briefs</i> by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Jed Margolin, Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Bernheim, Robert) (Entered: 09/22/2008)
09/23/2008	<u>146</u>	ORDER granting <u>145</u> Stipulation : Dfts shall have up to and including 9/29/2008 to file their motion regarding preliminary invalidity contentions. Pla shall have up to and including 9/29/2008 to file their motion regarding case bifurcation and up to and including 10/10/2008 to file their brief regarding disputed patent prosecution exclusion. The parties shall have ten days after the filing of the motions to respond.. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 9/22/08. (JKM,) (Entered: 09/23/2008)
09/23/2008	<u>147</u>	STIPULATION of Dismissal <i>with Prejudice</i> by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Jed Margolin, Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order)(Bernheim, Robert) (Entered: 09/23/2008)
09/24/2008	<u>148</u>	ORDER granting <u>147</u> Stipulation of Dismissal :All claims and counterclaims in this action are dismissed with prejudice and the Clerk shall CLOSE this case. Each party shall be responsible for paying its own attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action.. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 9/23/08. (JKM,) (Entered: 09/24/2008)

PACER Service Center			
Transaction Receipt			
03/09/2011 16:49:02			
PACER Login:	jp0611	Client Code:	1134.023382
Description:	Docket Report	Search Criteria:	4:07-cv-00588-RCC
Billable Pages:	14	Cost:	1.12

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNIVERSAL AVIONICS SYSTEMS CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

vs.

OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC.,
OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, ROBERT ADAMS and
JED MARGOLIN,

Defendants.

No. CV 07-588-TUC-RCC

ORDER

OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY INC. a/k/a
OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC.,
a corporation,

Counterclaimant,

vs.

UNIVERSAL AVIONICS SYSTEMS CORPORATION, an Arizona corporation,

Counterdefendant,

OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY INC. a/k/a
OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC.,

Cross-Claimant,

vs.

OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION,

Cross-Defendant.

1 This Court, having considered the Defendants' Application for Entry of Default
2 Judgment against Cross-Defendant Optima Technology Corporation, finds no just reason to
3 delay entry of final judgment.

4 Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

5 Final Judgment is entered against Cross-Defendants Optima Technology Corporation,
6 a California corporation, and Optima Technology Corporation, a Nevada corporation, as
7 follows:

8 1. Optima Technology Corporation has no interest in U.S. Patents Nos. 5,566,073 and
9 5,904,724 ("the Patents") or the Durable Power of Attorney from Jed Margolin dated July
10 20, 2004 ("the Power of Attorney");

11 2. The Assignment Optima Technology Corporation filed with the USPTO is forged,
12 invalid, void, of no force and effect, and is hereby struck from the records of the USPTO;

13 3. The USPTO is to correct its records with respect to any claim by Optima
14 Technology Corporation to the Patents and/or the Power of Attorney; and

15 4. OTC is hereby enjoined from asserting further rights or interests in the Patents
16 and/or Power of Attorney; and

17 5. There is no just reason to delay entry of final judgment as to Optima Technology
18 Corporation under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b).

19 DATED this 18th day of August, 2008.

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



Raner C. Collins
United States District Judge

1 Case No.: 09 OC 00579 1B

2 Dept. No.: I

REC'D & FILED
2009 DEC 11 PM 4:07
JAN GLOVER
DEPUTY

6 IN THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
7 IN AND FOR CARSON CITY

9 JED MARGOLIN, an individual,

10 Plaintiff,

11 vs.

12 OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY
13 CORPORATION, a California corporation,
14 OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION,
15 a Nevada corporation, REZA ZANDIAN aka
16 GOLAMREZA ZANDIANJAZI aka
17 GHOLAM REZA ZANDIAN aka REZA
18 JAZI aka J, REZA JAZI aka G. REZA
19 JAZI aka GHONONREZA ZANDIAN JAZI,
20 an individual, DOE Companies 1-10, DOE
21 Corporations 11-20, and DOE Individuals
22 21-30,

23 Defendants.

24 COMPLAINT

(Exemption From Arbitration Requested)

25 Plaintiff, JED MARGOLIN ("Mr. Margolin"), by and through his counsel of record,
26 WATSON ROUNDS, and for his Complaint against Defendants, hereby alleges and complains
27 as follows:

28 The Parties

- 1. Plaintiff Mr. Margolin is an individual residing in Storey County, Nevada,
- 2. On information and belief, Defendant Optima Technology Corporation is a

1 California corporation with its principal place of business in Irvine, California.

2 3. On information and belief, Defendant Optima Technology Corporation is a
3 Nevada corporation with its principal place of business in Las Vegas, Nevada.

4 4. On information and belief, Defendant Reza Zandian, aka Golamreza Zandianjazi,
5 aka Golamreza Zandianjazi, aka Gholam Reza Zandian, aka Reza Jazi, aka J. Reza Jazi, aka G.
6 Reza Jazi, aka Ghononreza Zandian Jazi (collectively "Zandian"), is an individual who at all
7 relevant times resided in San Diego, California or Las Vegas, Nevada.

8 5. On information and belief, Defendant Optima Technology Corporation, the
9 Nevada corporation ("OTC—Nevada") is a wholly owned subsidiary of Optima Technology
10 Corporation, the California corporation ("OTC—California"), and Defendant Zandian at all
11 relevant times served as officers of the OTC—California and OTC—Nevada.
12

13 6. Mr. Margolin believes, and therefore alleges, that at all times herein mentioned,
14 each of the Defendants was the agent, servant or employee of each of the other Defendant and at
15 all times was acting within the course and scope of said agency and/or employment and that each
16 Defendant is liable to Mr. Margolin for the reasons and the facts herein alleged. Relief is sought
17 herein against each and all of the Defendants jointly and severally, as well as its or their agents,
18 assistants, successors, employees and all persons acting in concert or cooperation with them or at
19 their direction. Mr. Margolin will amend his Complaint when such additional persons acting in
20 concert or cooperation are ascertained.
21

22 Jurisdiction and Venue

23
24 7. Pursuant to the Nevada Constitution, Article 6, Section 6, the district courts of the
25 State of Nevada have original jurisdiction in all cases excluded by law from the original
26 jurisdiction of the justice courts. This case involves tort claims in an amount in excess of the
27 jurisdictional limitation of the justice courts and, accordingly, jurisdiction is proper in the district
28 court.

1 34. The foregoing actions by Defendants interfered with the business relationships of
2 Mr. Margolin, and were done intentionally and occurred without consent or authority of Mr.
3 Margolin.

4 35. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' tortious interference, Mr.
5 Margolin has suffered damages in excess of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), entitling him to the
6 relief set forth below.

7 Claim 4--Unjust Enrichment
8 (Against All Defendants)

9 36. Paragraphs 1-35 of the Complaint set forth above are incorporated herein by
10 reference.

11 37. Defendants wrongfully obtained record title to the Patents.

12 38. Defendants were aware that record title to the Patents was valuable, and were
13 aware of the benefit derived from having record title.

14 39. Defendants unjustly benefitted from the use of Mr. Margolin's property without
15 compensation to Mr. Margolin.

16 40. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' aforementioned acts, Mr.
17 Margolin is entitled to equitable relief.

18 Claim 5--Unfair and Deceptive Trade Practices
19 (Against All Defendants)

20 41. Paragraphs 1-40 of the Complaint set forth above are incorporated herein by
21 reference.

22 42. The Defendants, engaging in the acts and conduct described above, have
23 knowingly and willfully committed unfair and deceptive trade practices under NRS 598.0915 by
24 making false representations.

25 43. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' unfair and deceptive trade
26 practices, Mr. Margolin has suffered damages in excess of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000),
27 entitling him to the relief set forth below.
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Jed Margolin, prays for judgment against the Defendants as

follows:


- 1. That Plaintiff be awarded damages for Defendants' tortious conduct;
- 2. That Plaintiff be awarded damages for Defendants' unjust enrichment;
- 3. That Plaintiff be awarded damages for Defendants' commission of unfair and deceptive trade practices, in an amount to be proven at trial, with said damages being trebled pursuant to NRS 598.0999;
- 4. That Plaintiff be awarded actual, consequential, future, and punitive damages of whatever type or nature;
- 5. That the Court award all such further relief that it deems just and proper.

AFFIRMATION

Pursuant to NRS 239B.030, the undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document, filed in District Court, does not contain the social security number of any person.

DATED: December 10, 2009

WATSON ROUNDS



Matthew D. Francis (6978)
Cassandra P. Joseph (9845)
WATSON ROUNDS
5371 Kietzke Lane
Reno, NV 89511
Telephone: 775-324-4100
Facsimile: 775-333-8171

Attorneys for Plaintiff Jed Margolin

ORIGINAL

N. O90C00579 1B

Dept. I

REC'D & FILED

2010 MAR -9 PM 2: 15

ALAN GLOVER
BY *[Signature]* CLERK
DEPUTY

In the First Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada
in and for Carson City

SUMMONS

JED MARGOLIN, an individual
Plaintiff,

Optima Technology ^{VS} Corporation, a California corporation,
Optima Technology Corporation, a Nevada corporation, Reza
Zandian aka Golanreza Zandianjazi aka Gholam Reza Zandian
aka Reza Jazi aka J. Reza Defendant, Jazi aka G. Reza Jazi
~~aka Chononreza Zandian Jazi, an individual, DOE Companies~~
1-10, DOE Corporations 11-20, and DOE Individuals 21-30
DEFENDANTS

THE STATE OF NEVADA SENDS GREETINGS TO THE ABOVE-NAMED DEFENDANT:

NOTICE! YOU HAVE BEEN SUED. THE COURT MAY DECIDE AGAINST YOU WITHOUT YOUR BEING HEARD UNLESS YOU RESPOND WITHIN 20 DAYS. READ THE INFORMATION BELOW.

TO THE DEFENDANT: A civil Complaint has been filed by the plaintiff against you.

1. If you wish to defend this lawsuit, you must, within 20 days after this Summons is served on you, exclusive of the day of service, file with this Court a written pleading in response to this Complaint.
2. Unless you respond, your default will be entered upon application of the plaintiff, and this Court may enter a judgment against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint*, which could result in the taking of money or property or the relief requested in the Complaint.
3. If you wish to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your response may be filed on time.
4. You are required to serve your response upon plaintiff's attorney, whose address is

ALAN GLOVER
Clerk of Court
By *[Signature]*
Deputy Clerk

Date December 15, 2009, 20 09

*Note - When service by publication, insert a brief statement of the object of the action. See Rule 4.

RETURN OF SERVICE ON REVERSE SIDE

1 Jed Margolin v. Optima Technology Corp., et al.
2 Case No. 090C00579 1B
3 Declaration of Robert Toth

4 I, ROBERT TOTH, hereby declare:

5 I am a registered process server for the State of California. I have personal knowledge of
6 the facts contained in this Declaration, and if called as a witness, I could and would competently
7 testify thereto. As to those matters alleged on information and belief, I believe them to be true.

8 I served copies of the Summons and Complaint, on Reza Zandian aka Golamreza
9 Zandianjaza, aka Gholam Reza Zandian, aka Reza Jazi, aka J. Reza Jazi, aka G. Reza Jazi, aka
10 Ghononreza Zanian Jazi:

11 On January 26, 2010 at 8:43 a.m., I wen to the residence address at 8401 Bonita Downs
12 Road, Fair Oaks, California 95628. There was no answer at the door.

13 On January 28, 2010 at 3:47 p.m., I returned to the residence again, and there was no
14 answer at the door.

15 On January 31, 2010 at 4:13 p.m., I went the residence address, and again there was no
16 answer at the door.

17 On February 2, 2010 at 5:37 p.m., when I returned to the residence address, I observed no
18 lights on, no cars parked, but that the trash was set out.

19 On February 2, 2010 at 7:21 p.m., I returned to the residence address. The door was
20 answered by an elderly man, described as mid to late-60's, middle eastern accent, 5'4" tall, grey
21 hair, long beard, thin, and wearing glasses. I told him I was looking for Reza. I showed him the
22 name on the documents with the various names, and made a motion that he knew one or more of
23 the names. I showed him the photograph that I had. I told him I had legal documents for Reza,
24 and that I would leave it with him. He took the envelope, opened it and saw the documents. He
25 told me that he did not want the papers and that he did not live there. I told him that we had
26 confirmed that was his address. He returned the envelope back. I told him that he needed to
27 make sure that Reza got the paperwork. I put the envelope by the doorway. He picked up the
28 envelope and threw it at me as I was leaving. I left the documents there and again told him that
he had been served for Reza.

1 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
2 foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration is executed this 18th day of February, at
3 Citrus Heights, California.



4
5 ROBERT M. TOTH
6 Registered Process Server
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28