REC'D & FILED 1 Matthew D. Francis (6978) Adam P. McMillen (10678) 2014 FEB 12 PM 3: 22 2 WATSON ROUNDS 5371 Kietzke Lane ALAN GLOVER 3 Reno, NV 89511 W. Alegrolerk Telephone: 775-324-4100 Facsimile: 775-333-8171 Attorneys for Plaintiff Jed Margolin 5 6 7 In The First Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada 8 In and for Carson City 9 10 JED MARGOLIN, an individual, 11 Plaintiff, Case No.: 090C00579 1B 12 Dept. No.: 1 VS. 13 OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a California corporation, OPTIMA 14 TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a Nevada MOTION FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE REGARDING CONTEMPT corporation, REZA ZANDIAN 15 aka GOLAMREZA ZANDIANJAZI aka GHOLAM REZA ZANDIAN 16 aka REZA JAZI aka J. REZA JAZI 17 aka G. REZA JAZI aka GHONONREZA ZANDIAN JAZI, an individual, DOE 18 Companies 1-10, DOE Corporations 11-20, and DOE Individuals 21-30, 19 Defendants. 20 21 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff Jed Margolin by and through his attorneys. 22 requests that this Court issue an Order requiring Reza Zandian ("Zandian") to appear and show 23 cause why he should not be held in Contempt of Court for having deliberately and willfully 24 violated the Court's January 13, 2014 Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Debtor 25 Examination and to Produce Documents. The Order is attached hereto as Exhibit 1. 26 According to the Order, Zandian was required to:

27

- 1. Appear before the Court and answer upon oath or affirmation concerning his property at a Judgment Debtor Examination under the authority of a Judge of the Court on February 11, 2014 at 9:00 a.m.; and,
- 2. To produce to Plaintiff's counsel at least one week prior to the Judgment Debtor Examination, all information and documents identifying, related to, and/or comprising the following:
 - a. Any and all information and documentation identifying real property, computers, cell phones, intellectual property, vehicles, brokerage accounts, bank deposits and all other assets that may be available for execution to satisfy the Judgment entered by the Court, including, but not limited to, information relating to financial accounts, monies owed to Zandian by others, etc.
 - Documents sufficient to show Zandian's balance sheet for each month for the years
 2007 to the present.
 - c. Documents sufficient to show Zandian's gross revenues for each month for the years 2007 to the present.
 - d. Documents sufficient to show Zandian's costs and expenses for each month for the years 2007 to the present.
 - e. All tax returns filed by Zandian with any governmental body for the years 2007 to the present, including all schedules, W-2's and 1099's.
 - f. All of Zandian's accounting records, computerized electronic and/or printed on paper format for the years 2007 to the present.
 - g. All of Zandian's statements, cancelled checks and related banking documents for any bank, brokerage or other financial account at least partially controlled by Zandian, or recorded in the name of Zandian or for Zandian's benefit, for the years 2007 to the present.
 - All of Zandian's checkbooks, checkbook stubs and checkbook entries for the years
 2007 to the present.

- i. Documents sufficient to show the means and source of payment of Zandian's current residence and any other residence for the years 2007 to the present.
- j. Documents sufficient to show the means and source of payment of Zandian's counsel in this matter.
- k. Any settlement agreements by which another party has agreed to pay money to Zandian.

See Exhibit 1.

On February 10, 2014, Zandian's counsel informed Plaintiff's counsel that Zandian "is currently in the middle east on business" and "will not be able to attend the debtor's examination" tomorrow morning in front of Judge Russell. Zandian's counsel also informed Plaintiff's counsel on February 10, 2014, that no documents have been produced regarding the debtor's examination allegedly "due to the short amount of time provided." *See* Exhibit 2, which is a copy of the February 10, 2014 email, attached hereto.

Without providing any justification, Zandian has violated the Court's Order by not providing the documents to Plaintiff by February 4, 2014, and by refusing and failing to appear at the Court-ordered debtor's examination on February 11, 2014. Plaintiff therefore requests that Zandian be ordered to appear in Court to Show Cause why he should not be held in Contempt of Court.

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. Background

Plaintiff Jed Margolin is the named inventor on United States Patent No. 5,566,073 ("the '073 Patent"), United States Patent No. 5,904,724 ("the '724 Patent"), United States Patent No. 5,978,488 ("the '488 Patent") and United States Patent No. 6,377,436 ("the '436 Patent") (collectively "the Patents"). *See* Amended Complaint, filed 8/11/11, ¶¶ 9-10. In 2004, Mr. Margolin granted to Robert Adams, then CEO of Optima Technology, Inc. (later renamed Optima Technology Group (hereinafter "OTG"), a Cayman Islands Corporation specializing in aerospace technology) a Power of Attorney regarding the Patents. *Id.* at ¶ 11.

Subsequently, Mr. Margolin assigned the '073 and '724 Patents to OTG and revoked the Power of Attorney. *Id.* at \P 13.

In May 2006, OTG and Mr. Margolin licensed the '073 and '724 Patents to Geneva Aerospace, Inc., and Mr. Margolin received a royalty payment pursuant to a royalty agreement between Mr. Margolin and OTG. *Id.* at ¶ 12. On or about October 2007, OTG licensed the '073 Patent to Honeywell International, Inc., and Mr. Margolin received a royalty payment pursuant to a royalty agreement between Mr. Margolin and OTG. *Id.* at ¶ 14.

On or about December 5, 2007, Zandian filed with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") assignment documents allegedly assigning all four of the Patents to Optima Technology Corporation ("OTC"), a company apparently owned by Zandian at the time. *Id.* at ¶ 15. Shortly thereafter, on November 9, 2007, Mr. Margolin, Robert Adams, and OTG were named as defendants in the case titled *Universal Avionics Systems Corporation v. Optima Technology Group, Inc.*, No. CV 07-588-TUC-RCC (the "Arizona action"). *Id.* at ¶ 17. Zandian was not a party in the Arizona action. Nevertheless, the plaintiff in the Arizona action asserted that Mr. Margolin and OTG were not the owners of the '073 and '724 Patents, and OTG filed a cross-claim for declaratory relief against Optima Technology Corporation ("OTC") in order to obtain legal title to the respective patents. *Id.*

On August 18, 2008, the United States District Court for the District of Arizona entered a default judgment against OTC and found that OTC had no interest in the '073 or '724 Patents, and that the assignment documents filed with the USPTO were "forged, invalid, void, of no force and effect." *Id.* at ¶ 18; *see also* Exhibit B to Zandian's Motion to Dismiss, dated 11/16/11, on file herein.

Due to Zandian's acts, title to the Patents was clouded and interfered with Plaintiff's and OTG's ability to license the Patents. *Id.* at ¶ 19. In addition, during the period of time Mr. Margolin worked to correct record title of the Patents in the Arizona action and with the USPTO, he incurred significant litigation and other costs associated with those efforts. *Id.* at ¶ 20.

II. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

Plaintiff filed his Complaint on December 11, 2009, and the Complaint was personally served on Zandian on February 2, 2010, and on Defendants Optima Technology Corporation, a Nevada corporation, and Optima Technology Corporation, a California corporation on March 21, 2010. Zandian's answer to Plaintiff's Complaint was due on February 22, 2010, but Zandian did not answer the Complaint or respond in any way. Default was entered against Zandian on December 2, 2010, and Plaintiff filed and served a Notice of Entry of Default on Zandian on December 7, 2010 and on his last known attorney on December 16, 2010.

The answers of Defendants Optima Technology Corporation, a Nevada corporation, and Optima Technology Corporation, a California corporation, were due on March 8, 2010, but Defendants did not answer the Complaint or respond in any way. Default was entered against Defendants Optima Technology Corporation, a Nevada corporation, and Optima Technology Corporation, a California corporation on December 2, 2010. Plaintiff filed and served a Notice of Entry of Default on the corporate entities on December 7, 2010 and on their last known attorney on December 16, 2010.

The defaults were set aside and Zandian's motion to dismiss was denied on August 3, 2011. On September 27, 2011, this Court ordered that service of process against all Defendants may be made by publication. As manifested by the affidavits of service, filed herein on November 7, 2011, all Defendants were duly served by publication by November 2011.

On February 21, 2012, the Court denied Zandian's motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint. On March 5, 2012, Zandian served a General Denial to the Amended Complaint. On March 13, 2012, the corporate Defendants served a General Denial to the Amended Complaint.

On June 28, 2012, this Court issued an order requiring the corporate Defendants to retain counsel and that counsel enter an appearance on behalf of the corporate Defendants by July 15, 2012. The June 28, 2012 order further provided that if no such appearance was entered, the corporate Defendants' General Denial would be stricken. Since no appearance

was entered on behalf of the corporate Defendants, a default was entered against them on September 24, 2012. A notice of entry of default judgment was filed and served on November 6, 2012.

On July 16, 2012, Mr. Margolin served Zandian with Mr. Margolin's First Set of Requests for Admission, First Set of Interrogatories, and First Set of Requests for Production of Documents, but Zandian never responded to these discovery requests. As such, on December 14, 2012, Mr. Margolin filed and served a Motion for Sanctions pursuant to NRCP 37. In this Motion, Mr. Margolin requested this Court strike the General Denial of Zandian, and award Mr. Margolin his fees and costs incurred in bringing the Motion.

On January 15, 2013, this Court issued an order striking the General Denial of Zandian and awarding his fees and costs incurred in bringing the NRCP 37 Motion. A default was entered against Zandian on March 28, 2013, and a notice of entry of default judgment was filed and served on April 5, 2013.

On April 17, 2013, Mr. Margolin filed an Application for Default Judgment, which was served on Zandian and the corporate Defendants. Since Zandian did not respond to the Application for Default Judgment, a Default Judgment was entered on June 24, 2013. Notice of entry of the Default Judgment was served on Zandian on June 26, 2013 and filed on June 27, 2013.

Over five and a half months later, on December 19, 2013, Zandian served his Motion to Set Aside on Plaintiff. Zandian's Motion to Set Aside claims that he never received any written discovery or notice of the pleadings and papers filed in this matter after his counsel withdrew as his former counsel provided an erroneous last known address to the Court and the parties when he withdrew, and therefore Zandian requests that the judgment be set aside.

On February 6, 2014, the Court entered an Order denying Zandian's request to set aside the judgment. The Court found that Zandian failed to show mistake, inadvertence, surprise or excusable neglect pursuant to NRCP 60(b) and that "Zandian had every opportunity to properly defend this action and instead made a voluntary choice not to." *See* Order, dated 2/6/14 at 9:14-17.

Also, on December 11, 2013, Plaintiff filed the subject motion for judgment debtor examination and to produce documents. Zandian failed to file any opposition to the motion for debtor's examination. Accordingly, on January 13, 2014, the Court granted the motion for debtor examination and to produce documents. On January 16, 2014, Plaintiff served Zandian with notice of entry of the Court's order granting the debtor's examination and the production of documents prior thereto. *See* Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Debtor Examination and to Produce Documents, dated 1/16/14, on file herein; *see also* Exhibit 3, Email, dated 1/16/14, Nancy Lindsley (Plaintiff's counsel) to Lauren Kidd (Zandian's counsel), which included a copy of the Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Debtor's Examination and to Produce Documents and the Notice of Entry of that order.

On February 10, 2014, Zandian's counsel informed Plaintiff's counsel that Zandian "is currently in the middle east on business" and "will not be able to attend the debtor's examination" tomorrow morning in front of Judge Russell. Zandian's counsel also informed Plaintiff's counsel on February 10, 2014, that no documents have been produced regarding the debtor's examination allegedly "due to the short amount of time provided." *See* Exhibit 2.

III. Legal Argument

NRS 1.210(3) states that "[t]he Court has the power to compel obedience to its orders." NRS 22.010(3) provides that the "refusal to abide by a lawful order issued by the Court is contempt." *See also Matter of Water Rights of Humboldt River*, 118 Nev. 901, 907, 59 P.3d 1226, 1229–30 (2002) (noting that the district court generally has particular knowledge of whether contemptible conduct occurred and thus its decisions regarding contempt are given deference).

"Courts have inherent power to enforce their decrees through civil contempt proceedings, and this power cannot be abridged by statute." *In re Determination of Relative Rights of Claimants & Appropriators of Waters of Humboldt River Stream Sys. & Tributaries*, 118 Nev. 901, 909, 59 P.3d 1226, 1231 (2002) (citing *Noble v. Noble*, 86 Nev. 459, 463, 470 P.2d 430, 432 (1970). "A civil contempt order may be used to compensate the contemnor's

adversary for costs incurred because of the contempt." *Id.* (citing *State*, *Dep't Indus. Rel. v. Albanese*, 112 Nev. 851, 856, 919 P.2d 1067, 1070–71 (1996)).

"[D]istrict judges are afforded broad discretion in imposing sanctions" and the Nevada Supreme Court "will not reverse the particular sanctions imposed absent a showing of abuse of discretion." *State, Dep't of Indus. Relations, Div. of Indus. Ins. Regulation v. Albanese*, 112 Nev. 851, 856, 919 P.2d 1067, 1070 (1996) (citing *Young v. Johnny Ribeiro Building*, 106 Nev. 88, 92, 787 P.2d 777, 779 (1990)).

"Generally, an order for civil contempt must be grounded upon one's disobedience of an order that spells out 'the details of compliance in clear, specific and unambiguous terms so that such person will readily know exactly what duties or obligations are imposed on him."

Southwest Gas Corp. v. Flintkote Co., 99 Nev. 127, 131, 659 P.2d 861, 864 (1983) (quoting Ex parte Slavin, 412 S.W.2d 43, 44 (Tex.1967)). "[A] sanction for '[c]ivil contempt is characterized by the court's desire to ... compensate the contemnor's adversary for the injuries which result from the noncompliance." Albanese, 112 Nev. at 856, 919 P.2d at 1071 (citing In re Crystal Palace Gambling Hall, Inc., 817 F.2d 1361 (9th Cir.1987) (citations omitted)). "However, an award to an opposing party is limited to that party's actual loss." United States v. United Mine Workers of America, 330 U.S. 258, 304, 67 S.Ct. 677, 701, 91 L.Ed. 884 (1947); Shuffler v. Heritage Bank, 720 F.2d 1141 (9th Cir.1983); Falstaff, 702 F.2d at 779.

The undisputed facts are crystal clear that Zandian violated this Court's debtor's examination Order by failing to produce the documents one week prior to the debtor's examination and by failing to appear at the debtor's examination, after he was served with the Order requiring the same. *Supra*. There can be no justification for Zandian's actions. The full damages to Plaintiff from Zandian's conduct and contempt for this Court cannot be measured.

Plaintiff respectfully requests this Court issue an order to show cause why Zandian should not be held in contempt. Plaintiff further requests that the Court hold Zandian in contempt and award an appropriate compensatory sanction, both to coerce Zandian's compliance with the debtor's examination Order as well as compensate Plaintiff for his damages. Plaintiff also respectfully requests that he be awarded his attorney fees and costs

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
	Ш

associated with bringing the motion for debtor's examination and this motion for order to show cause regarding contempt. If the Court deems that such an award of attorney fees and costs is warranted, Plaintiff will file a subsequent affidavit and cost memorandum.

IV. CONCLUSION

For all of the foregoing reasons, this Court should grant Plaintiff's Motion for Order to Show Cause Regarding Contempt.

AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document does not contain the social security number of any person.

Dated this 12th day of February, 2014.

Matthew D. Francis (6978) Adam P. McMillen (10678)

WATSON ROUNDS 5371 Kietzke Lane Reno, NV 89511

Telephone: 775-324-4100 Facsimile: 775-333-8171

Attorneys for Plaintiff Jed Margolin

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of Watson Rounds, and that on this date, I deposited for mailing, in a sealed envelope, with first-class postage prepaid, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document, MOTION FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE REGARDING CONTEMPT, addressed as follows:

Johnathon Fayeghi, Esq. Hawkins Melendrez 9555 Hillwood Dr., Suite 150 Las Vegas, NV 89134 Counsel for Reza Zandian

Optima Technology Corp. A California corporation 8401 Bonita Downs Road Fair Oaks, CA 95628

Optima Technology Corp. A Nevada corporation 8401 Bonita Downs Road Fair Oaks, CA 95628

Optima Technology Corp. A California corporation 8775 Costa Verde Blvd. #501 San Diego, CA 92122

Optima Technology Corp. A Nevada corporation 8775 Costa Verde Blvd. #501 San Diego, CA 92122

Dated: February <u>12</u>, 2014.

Mana K. Jambler Nancy R. Hindsley

28

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

INDEX OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Title	Number of Pages
1	Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Debtor's Examination and to Produce Documents	5
2	Email between counsel regarding failure to comply with Court's Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Debtor's Examination and to Produce Documents	4
3	Email from Nancy Lindsley, Plaintiff's counsel's staff, to Lauren Kidd, Defendant Zandian's counsel's staff, transmitting courtesy copies of documents	2

Exhibit 1

Exhibit 1

LIE DEFILEL 1 Case No. 09 0C 00579 1B 2014 JAN 13 PH 4: 16 2 Dept. No. ALAN GLOVER 3 G. Coolin 4 In The First Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada 5 In and for Carson City 6 7 JED MARGOLIN, an individual, 8 Plaintiff, 9 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING VS. 10 PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, DEBTOR EXAMINATION AND TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS 11 a California corporation, OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a Nevada 12 corporation, REZA ZANDIAN aka GOLAMREZA ZANDIANJAZI 13 aka GHOLAM REZA ZANDIAN aka REZA JAZI aka J. REZA JAZI 14 aka G. REZA JAZI aka GHONONREZA 15 ZANDIAN JAZI, an individual, DOE Companies 1-10, DOE Corporations 11-20, and DOE 16 Individuals 21-30, 17 Defendants. 18 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff JED MARGOLIN's Motion for Debtor 19 Examination and to Produce Documents, filed on December 11, 2013. 20 The Court finds that Defendants have not opposed the Motion for Debtor Examination 21 and to Produce Documents. The non-opposition by Defendants to Plaintiff's Motion constitutes 22 a consent to the granting of the motion. 23 The Court finds good cause exists to grant Plaintiff's Motion for Debtor Examination 24 and to Produce Documents. 25 /// 26 /// 27 28

NOW, THEREFORE, IT HEREBY IS ORDERED as follows:

- 1. That Defendant REZA ZANDIAN aka GOLAMREZA ZANDIANJAZI aka GHOLAM REZA ZANDIAN aka REZA JAZI aka J. REZA JAZI aka G. REZA JAZI aka GHONONREZA ZANDIAN JAZI is hereby ordered to appear before the Court and answer upon oath or affirmation concerning Defendant's property at a Judgment Debtor Examination under the authority of a Judge of the Court on the following date February II, 2014@ CLOO is and,
- 2. That Defendant REZA ZANDIAN aka GOLAMREZA ZANDIANJAZI aka GHOLAM REZA ZANDIAN aka REZA JAZI aka J. REZA JAZI aka G. REZA JAZI aka GHONONREZA ZANDIAN JAZI is hereby ordered to produce to Mr. Margolin's counsel at least one week prior to the Judgment Debtor Examination, so that counsel may effectively review and question Zandian regarding the documents, all information and documents identifying, related to, and/or comprising the following:
 - a. Any and all information and documentation identifying real property, computers, cell phones, intellectual property, vehicles, brokerage accounts, bank deposits and all other assets that may be available for execution to satisfy the Judgment entered by the Court, including, but not limited to, information relating to financial accounts, monies owed to Zandian by others, etc.
 - b. Documents sufficient to show Zandian's balance sheet for each month for the years2007 to the present.
 - c. Documents sufficient to show Zandian's gross revenues for each month for the years 2007 to the present.
 - d. Documents sufficient to show Zandian's costs and expenses for each month for the years 2007 to the present.
 - e. All tax returns filed by Zandian with any governmental body for the years 2007 to the present, including all schedules, W-2's and 1099's.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of Watson Rounds, and that on this date, I deposited for mailing, in a sealed envelope, with first-class postage prepaid, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document, *Proposed* Order Granting Motion for Debtor

Examination and for Production of Documents, addressed as follows:

Geoffrey W. Hawkins, Esquire Johnathon Fayeghi, Esquire Hawkins Melendrez, P.C. 9555 Hillwood Drive, Suite 150 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134

Alborz Zandian 9 Almanzora Newport Beach, CA 92657-1613

Optima Technology Corp. A California corporation 8401 Bonita Downs Road Fair Oaks, CA 95628

Optima Technology Corp. A Nevada corporation 8401 Bonita Downs Road Fair Oaks, CA 95628

Optima Technology Corp. A California corporation 8775 Costa Verde Blvd. #501 San Diego, CA 92122

Optima Technology Corp. A Nevada corporation 8775 Costa Verde Blvd. #501 San Diego, CA 92122

Dated: January 14, 2014

Minay Sundsey
Nancy R Lindsley

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26 27

Exhibit 2

Exhibit 2

Adam McMillen

From: John Fayeghi [JFayeghi@hawkinsmelendrez.com]

Sent: Monday, February 10, 2014 8:49 AM

To: Adam McMillen
Cc: Geoffrey Hawkins

Subject: RE: Margolin v. Zandian, et al.

Dear Mr. McMillen,

I apologize for not getting back to you on Friday, I was stuck in deposition all day. With regard to the requested documents, I have not been able to obtain the same from my client due to the short amount of time provided. With regard to the debtor's examination, it is my understanding that Mr. Zandian is currently in the middle east on business. As such, Mr. Zandian will not be able to attend the debtor's examination.

Very truly yours,



Johnathon Fayeghi, Esq. 9555 Hillwood Dr., Ste. 150 Las Vegas, NV 89134

Tel.: 702-318-8800 Fax.: 702-318-8801

jfayeghi@hawkinsmelendrez.com

From: Adam McMillen [mailto:amcmillen@watsonrounds.com]

Sent: Monday, February 10, 2014 8:28 AM

To: John Fayeghi

Cc: Geoffrey Hawkins; Nancy Lindsley **Subject:** FW: Margolin v. Zandian, et al.

Hi John,

I still have not heard from you about the documents for tomorrow's debtor's examination. Unless I hear from you otherwise, you leave me no choice but to assume that you will not be providing the ordered documents and I will prepare for tomorrow's examination in front of Judge Russell accordingly, including requesting that Judge Russell issue sanctions for the failure to comply with the order.

Sincerely,

Adam P. McMillen Attorney at Law

WATSON ROUNDS 5371 Kietzke Lane Reno, NV 89511

Telephone: (775) 324-4100 Facsimile: (775) 333-8171 amcmillen@watsonrounds.com

STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY: This message contains information which may be confidential and privileged. Unless you are the addressee or authorized to receive emails for the addressee you may not use, copy or disclose to anyone this message or any information contained in this message. If you have received this message in error, please advise the sender by reply email and then delete the entire email. IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: To ensure compliance with

requirements imposed by U.S. Treasury Regulation Circular 230, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication, including any attachments, is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

From: Adam McMillen

Sent: Friday, February 07, 2014 1:06 PM

To: 'John Fayeghi'

Cc: Geoffrey Hawkins; Matt Francis **Subject:** RE: Margolin v. Zandian, et al.

Hi John,

Since I did not hear from you I tried calling your office. However, your receptionist stated that you were just going into a deposition. I was calling to see where you and Zandian are at with regards to the documents and the debtor's examination, as discussed in our emails below. Please let me know the status of those issues.

Thank you,

Adam P. McMillen Attorney at Law

WATSON ROUNDS 5371 Kietzke Lane Reno, NV 89511

Telephone: (775) 324-4100 Facsimile: (775) 333-8171 amcmillen@watsonrounds.com

STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY: This message contains information which may be confidential and privileged. Unless you are the addressee or authorized to receive emails for the addressee you may not use, copy or disclose to anyone this message or any information contained in this message. If you have received this message in error, please advise the sender by reply email and then delete the entire email. IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by U.S. Treasury Regulation Circular 230, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication, including any attachments, is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

From: John Fayeghi [mailto:JFayeghi@hawkinsmelendrez.com]

Sent: Thursday, February 06, 2014 4:48 PM

To: Adam McMillen **Cc:** Geoffrey Hawkins

Subject: RE: Margolin v. Zandian, et al.

Dear Mr. McMillen,

I am scheduled to have a telephone conference with my client tomorrow morning. I will contact you following said telephone conference.

Very truly yours,



Johnathon Fayeghi, Esq. 9555 Hillwood Dr., Ste. 150 Las Vegas, NV 89134

Tel.: 702-318-8800 Fax.: 702-318-8801

jfayeghi@hawkinsmelendrez.com

From: Adam McMillen [mailto:amcmillen@watsonrounds.com]

Sent: Thursday, February 06, 2014 12:52 PM

To: John Fayeghi

Cc: Nancy Lindsley; Lauren Kidd

Subject: FW: Margolin v. Zandian, et al.

Johnathon Fayeghi,

As you know, Zandian has been ordered to attend his debtor's examination on 2/11/14, which is this coming Tuesday. Zandian has also been ordered to produce certain financial documents, as outlined in the attached order. Those documents were supposed to have been produced to my office by no later than 2/4/14 (last Tuesday). Please produce the documents to my office by 2/7/14 (tomorrow) or I will be forced to file a motion for contempt.

Also, do you plan on attending the debtor's examination on 2/11/14? Also, Does Zandian plan on attending the debtor's examination? Please let me know so I can plan accordingly.

Sincerely,

Adam P. McMillen Attorney at Law

WATSON ROUNDS 5371 Kietzke Lane Reno, NV 89511

Telephone: (775) 324-4100 Facsimile: (775) 333-8171 amcmillen@watsonrounds.com

STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY: This message contains information which may be confidential and privileged. Unless you are the addressee or authorized to receive emails for the addressee you may not use, copy or disclose to anyone this message or any information contained in this message. If you have received this message in error, please advise the sender by reply email and then delete the entire email. IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by U.S. Treasury Regulation Circular 230, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication, including any attachments, is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting. marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

From: Nancy Lindsley

Sent: Thursday, January 16, 2014 3:16 PM

To: 'Lauren Kidd'

Subject: Margolin v. Zandian, et al.

Dear Ms. Kidd:

Attached please find courtesy copies of documents which have been filed in connection with the above-referenced matter. Please contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Nancy R. Lindsley Paralegal to Matthew D. Francis and Adam P. McMillen



Reno, NV 89511

Telephone: (775) 324-4100 Facsimile: (775) 333-8171 nlindsley@watsonrounds.com

STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY: This message contains information which may be confidential and privileged. Unless you are the addressee or authorized to receive emails for the addressee you may not use, copy or disclose to anyone this message or any information contained in this message. If you have received this message in error, please advise the sender by reply email and then delete the entire email. IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by U.S. Treasury Regulation Circular 230, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication, including any attachments, is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

Exhibit 3

Exhibit 3

Nancy Lindsley

From:

Nancy Lindsley

Sent:

Thursday, January 16, 2014 3:16 PM

To:

'Lauren Kidd'

Subject:

Margolin v. Zandian, et al.

Attachments:

2014-0113 Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Debtor Examination and to Produce Documents.pdf; 2014-0116 Notice of Entry of Order Granting Debtor Examination.pdf

Dear Ms. Kidd:

Attached please find courtesy copies of documents which have been filed in connection with the above-referenced matter. Please contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Nancy R. Lindsley
Paralegal to
Matthew D. Francis and
Adam P. McMillen



5371 Kietzke Lane Reno, NV 89511

Telephone: (775) 324-4100 Facsimile: (775) 333-8171 nlindsley@watsonrounds.com

STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY: This message contains information which may be confidential and privileged. Unless you are the addressee or authorized to receive emails for the addressee you may not use, copy or disclose to anyone this message or any information contained in this message. If you have received this message in error, please advise the sender by reply email and then delete the entire email. IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by U.S. Treasury Regulation Circular 230, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication, including any attachments, is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.